



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

CRM-M-68455-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.02.2026

GURPREET SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Yajur Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.139, dated 20.06.2025, under Sections 21(c), 25, 27(a) of NDPS Act (Section 29 NDPS Act added later on) and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar Rural.

2. On 04.12.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the name of the petitioner is based on the disclosure statement of co-accused Lovepreet Singh with allegations of having taken shelter at the house of the petitioner to evade arrest. However, there are no allegations with regard to any manual transaction or call details. He is falsely implicated on account of being co-villager. In this regard, reliance is placed on the judgment passed by Hon'ble The Supreme Court in the case of Tofan Singh vs. State of Tamil Nadu, 2021 (1) RCR (CrL.) 1.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 11.12.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 18.12.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Manjit Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. She also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 04.12.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

25.02.2026

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No