



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

174

1) CRM-M-68546-2025
Decided on : 25.02.2026

Harpreet Singh @ Preet @ Rembo . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Haryana . . . Respondent(s)

2) CRM-M-70139-2025

Om Parkash @ Vikram @ Vicky . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Haryana . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. D.V. DHINDSA, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-68546-2025).

Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-70139-2025).

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of CRM-M-33830-2025 and CRM-M-70139-2025, as all the petitions are interconnected and have arisen out of same FIR. However, the lead case is CRM-M-33830-2025.
2. The instant petitions have been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioners, during the pendency of trial, who have been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Harpreet Singh @ Preet @ Rembo (petitioner in CRM-M-33830-2025)	18	17.02.2025	22(C) & 27(A) of NDPS Act, 1985 [S. 29 of NDPS Act, added later on]	Shahzadpur	Ambala

Om Parkash @ Vikram @ Vicky (petitioner in CRM-M-70139-2025)	18	17.02.2025	22-C, 27 A, 29 of NDPS Act, 1985	Shahzadpur	Ambala
--	----	------------	----------------------------------	------------	--------

3. As per case of the prosecution, on 17.02.2025, while members of the police party were present under the Yamuna Nagar–Panchkula Highway Bridge for the investigation of narcotics, a special informant provided secret information stating that accused Harpreet Singh @ Preet @ Rembo, son of Satpal, resident of Village Bichli Damauli, Police Station Shahzadpur, District Ambala, is involved in selling narcotic capsules. The informant further disclosed that the accused would be travelling from his village Damauli, on his black Splendor motorcycle bearing registration No. HR 04J 7523 towards Shahzadpur to sell the narcotic capsules.

Upon receiving this information, Head Constable Satbir Singh was appointed to record videography through the e-Sakshya App using a mobile phone, and passers-by were also informed about the situation.

At about 5:00 p.m., the aforementioned motorcycle was observed approaching, and the rider, upon noticing the police team, attempted to turn back. However, he was apprehended, and upon inquiry, he disclosed his name as Harpreet Singh @ Preet @ Rembo (petitioner herein). Gazetted Officer Suraj Chawla, DSP Naraingarh, was informed of the situation via mobile number 9729990104 from the mobile phone of a police official bearing number 97297478786. Upon request, the Gazetted Officer arrived at 5:30 p.m., and after completing all legal formalities, a search was conducted.

From the right hand of the accused, a bundle wrapped in orange coloured cloth containing narcotic capsules was recovered. The recovered items were as follows:

1. **PARVION SPAS** — 20 strips containing 24 capsules each (total 480 capsules), total weight 275 grams.
2. **SPASMO PROVON PLUS** — 7 strips containing 24 capsules each (total 168 capsules), total weight 95.2 grams.

Total weight: 370.2 grams

Commercial quantity limit: 250 grams

4. Thereafter, further investigation in the already registered FIR was initiated, and on the basis of the disclosure statement of the accused, the name of his co-villager **Lakhwinder Singh @ Chiddi** surfaced as being involved in the offence.

As per the said disclosure statement, the main accused **Harpreet Singh @ Preet @ Rembo** (petitioner herein in **CRM-M-68546-2025**) disclosed that:

- i) He had received the aforesaid narcotic capsules from his co-villager **Lakhwinder Singh @ Chiddi** for the purpose of sale, and in return, he was to receive a commission of **Rs. 1,000/-**.
- ii) **Lakhwinder Singh @ Chiddi** was thereafter arrested and, during interrogation, made an alleged disclosure statement that he had purchased the recovered narcotic capsules from **Om Prakash @ Vicky**.
- iii) **Om Prakash @ Vicky** (petitioner herein in **CRM-M-70139-2025**) was subsequently arrested and made an alleged disclosure statement to the effect that he had received the recovered capsules from **Zakir Hussain @ Zakir @ Kaif**.
- iv) **Zakir Hussain** was then arrested, and on the basis of his disclosure statement, another accused, **Sumit**, was arrested on the allegation that he had sold the recovered narcotic capsules to **Zakir Hussain**.

- v) During interrogation, **Sumit** named another accused, **Sharik Saifi**.
- vi) **Sharik Saifi** was then arrested, and on his disclosure statement, accused-Sunil Dixit @ Ajau @ Jai was arrested.
- vii) Accused – **Jai Bhagwan** was arrested on the disclosure statement of accused – **Sunil Dixit @ Ajay @ Jai**.

5. Learned counsel for petitioner – Harpreet Singh @ Preet @ Rembo submits that petitioner is a young person of about 21 years and a first-time offender. It is submitted that trial has not yet commenced and there is no other case of similar nature against him.

So far as petitioner – Om Parkash @ Vikram @ Vicky is concerned, learned counsel submits that he has been implicated solely on basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Lakhwinder Singh @ Chiddi and no recovery has been effected from him.

6. Learned counsel further submits that co-accused, namely, (i) Lakhwinder Singh @ Chiri (Chiddi), (ii) Sharik Saifi, (iii) Zakir Hussain @ Kaif, (iv) Sunil Dixit @ Ajay @ Jai, (v) Sumit, and (vi) Jai Bhagwan, have already been granted concession of regular bail by this Court vide common order dated 06.11.2025, passed in CRM-M-32676-2025 & connected cases, titled as, ***“Lakhwinder Singh @ Chiri v. State of Haryana”*** (Annexure P-3).

7. For reference, the relevant concluding part of the order dated 06.11.2025, passed in the case of co-accused, is reproduced here-under:-

“8. I have carefully perused the petitions, the documents appended thereto, as well as the status reports filed by the respondent–State.

9. From 480 capsules of PRAVION SPAS, the total weight of the active salt content comes out to 275 grams.

From 168 capsules of SPASMO PROVON PLUS, the total weight of the active salt content is 95.2 grams. Thus, the total weight of the salt amounts

to 370.2 grams, whereas the maximum limit for a non-commercial quantity under the NDPS Act is 250 grams.

As nothing has been recovered from the possession of the arrested accused, the details of their arrest are as under:

Sr. No.	Date of Arrest	Name of Accused	Details/recoveries etc.
1.	17.02.2025	Harpreet Singh @ Preet @ Rembo	He was arrested on spot with alleged contraband. Not before this Hon'ble Court
2.	17.02.2025	Lakhwinder Singh @ Chidi	Arrested on the disclosure statement of Harpreet Singh @ Preet, no recovery from him
3.	04.03.2025	Om Prakash @ Vikram @ Vicky	Arrested on the disclosure statement of Lakhwinder Singh @ Chidi, no recovery from hi. Not before this Hon'ble Court
4.	07.03.2025	Zakir Hussain @ Kaif	Arrested on the disclosure statement of Om Prakash @ Vikram @ Vicky, no recovery from him.
5.	07.03.2025	Sumit	Arrested on the disclosure statement of Zakir Hussain @ Kaif, no recovery from him.
6.	23.05.2025	Sharik Saifi	Arrested on the disclosure statement of Sumit, no recovery from him
7.	23.05.2025	Sunil Dixit @ Ajay @ Jai	Arrested on the disclosure statement of Sharik Saifi, no recovery from him.
8.	03.07.2025	Jai Bhagwan	Arrested on the disclosure statement of Sunil Dixit, no recovery from him.

10. In view of the fact that the petitioners have been in custody since the dates of their respective arrests, and considering that nothing has been recovered from their possession, which may constitute an offence under the NDPS Act, coupled with the fact that their alleged involvement arises solely from the disclosure statements of co-accused persons, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioners ought not to be kept in further detention during the pendency of the trial. Accordingly, all the aforementioned petitions are **allowed**

Consequently, prayer made in the instant petitions is **allowed**. Petitioners are ordered to released on bail, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

11. Needless to observe that the petitioners shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

12. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

13. *Petitions stand disposed of.”*

In view thereof, prayer is made for grant of regular bail to present petitioners on ground of parity.

8. On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed the separate status reports dated 17.02.2026 in Court today, which taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate places in the case files. Copies thereof have been handed-over to the counsel for the petitioners.

9. Learned State counsel while opposing the contentions raised on behalf of the petitioners, submitted that all the petitioners are members of one and the same gang engaged in the illegal trade of narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances. It is further contended that such offences are presently at their peak in the State and are causing serious harm to the younger generation.

10. In the status reports filed by the respondent–State in all the connected cases, a common stand has been taken, stating that the Call Detail Records (CDRs) of the mobile numbers of all the accused reveal interconnectivity amongst them, thereby establishing their involvement in the case. It is primarily on the basis of this connectivity that the offence under the NDPS Act has been attributed to the petitioners. The said offence pertains to a commercial quantity, which is punishable with a minimum sentence of ten years’ rigorous imprisonment.

Further, submits that granting of concession of regular bail to the co-accused persons, do not vests right for the petitioners for the same relief. Accordingly, learned State counsel prays for dismissal of the present petitions.

11. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the

petitions along with documents appended thereto, as well as the status reports filed by the respondent–State.

12. Considering submissions made by learned counsel for parties and material available on record, it is evident that recovery in present case falls within commercial quantity. Petitioner Harpreet Singh @ Preet @ Rembo was apprehended at spot, whereas petitioner Om Parkash @ Vikram @ Vicky has been implicated on basis of disclosure statements of co-accused.

It is not disputed that no recovery has been effected from petitioner Om Parkash @ Vikram @ Vicky and his involvement arises primarily from disclosure statements, which is a matter to be examined during trial.

It is also noticeable that co-accused, namely, (i) Lakhwinder Singh @ Chiddi, (ii) Sharik Saifi, (iii) Zakir Hussain @ Kaif, (iv) Sunil Dixit @ Ajay @ Jai, (v) Sumit, and (vi) Jai Bhagwan, have already been granted concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 06.11.2025, whose case stands on similar footing qua absence of recovery and implication on basis of disclosure statements.

So far as petitioner Harpreet Singh @ Preet @ Rembo is concerned, he is stated to be a young person of about 21 years. Considering his young age and the fact that there is no recovery from him, this Court is inclined to extend him an opportunity to reform and rehabilitate himself in society rather than keeping him confined behind bars for an indefinite period during trial. Besides, trial has not yet commenced and conclusion thereof is likely to take considerable time.

13. Without commenting upon merits of the case, and taking into consideration overall facts & circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate

not to continue their further detention in the present case.

Consequently, prayer made in the present petitions are **allowed**.
Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

14. Needless to observe that the petitioners shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

15. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

16. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioners are directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

17. **Petitions stand disposed of.**

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

February 25, 2026

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*