



-1-

120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-36358-2025

Date of decision:-19.02.2026

Poonam Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Lather, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Vashisth, DAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Upon instructions, State counsel submits that petitioner's application was rejected as she had uploaded incorrect particulars. He has instructions to state that in case the petitioner applies afresh along with complete particulars, application shall be considered in accordance with notification dated 24.05.2023, Annexure P1.

2. In view of the above statement made by the State counsel, writ petition is disposed of.

3. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner's husband expired on 06.03.2024 and an application was submitted online on 27.03.2024 for the financial benefit under the



-2-

120

notification, Annexure P1, which has been rejected on 17.04.2025, as per information provided by the State counsel. State counsel has placed on record a copy of order bearing No.HPSN/2026/4486 dated 19.02.2026 whereby reason has been given for the rejection of the application.

4. In view of the above circumstances, in case the petitioner applies for the financial under notification, Annexure P1 on or before 31.03.2026, the application shall be considered on merits. It is clarified that no order shall be passed rejecting the application on account of delay.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

19.02.2026
Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No