

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

135

2026:PHHC:036307



CRM-M-68895-2025 (O&M).
Date of decision: 10.03.2026.

HARPREET SINGH @ HAPPY

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Satwant Mehta, Advocate,
(Through Video Conference) with
Ms. Harjeet Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

This is the second petition filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, (Section 439 Cr.P.C.) for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.116 dated 29.11.2023, under Section(s) 21(C) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, (Section 29 of the NDPS Act added later on vide Rapat No.25 dated 01.12.2023), registered at Police Station Khem Karan, District Tarn Taran.

On being confronted with the fact that 07 witnesses out of 14

witnesses cited by the prosecution i.e. half of the prosecution witnesses already stand examined, counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition for the grant of regular bail. She, however, prays that appropriate directions be issued to the respondent-State to expeditiously conclude the prosecution evidence.

State counsel has no objection to the aforesaid prayer. He undertakes that the entire remaining prosecution evidence shall be concluded on or before the date next fixed before the trial Court for recording the prosecution evidence.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn at this stage. However, the respondent-State shall remain bound by its statement to conclude the pending trial in the aforesaid FIR within a period of six months from the date next fixed before the trial Court for recording of the evidence of the prosecution.

March 10, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No