



108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-36481-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision :09.02.2026

Ram Bhajan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)**CM-1008-CWP-2026**

Application is allowed and the application form submitted by the petitioner is taken on record as Annexure P-14, subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* setting aside the speaking order dated 16.09.2024, Annexure P-10, whereby the petitioner's candidature for the post of Electrician Instructor Theory, against advertisement 12/2019, has been rejected.

2. Learned counsel contended that the petitioner was duly recommended by the selection committee for appointment as Electrician Instructor Theory, but was not issued letter of appointment by the Department on the ground that his B.Tech degree in Instrumentation and Control Engineering was not equivalent to the requisite qualification of Bachelor degree in Engineering/Technology or its equivalent in Electrical from AICTE

recognised institution/university. Not only his Bachelor's degree is equivalent to



the requisite qualification, he has also done Master's of Technology in Electrical Engineering; its degree is Annexure P-2, which has not been taken into consideration by the Department. This masters degree was duly uploaded by him alongwith the application form under the heading "Higher Qualification if any". Learned counsel further contended that the petitioner's experience certificate has also not been considered for the reason the Document Verification Committee could not verify the same due to "termite infestation". This shows without verification the petitioner's experience certificate has been rejected. He has valid experience as established by the experience certificate and salary statement for three years, i.e., Annexures P-11 and P-12.

3. In these circumstances, learned State counsel submits that the department will pass a fresh order keeping in view the aforementioned facts regarding the petitioner's experience and taking into account his M.Tech degree, within three months.

4. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

5. Ordered accordingly.

February 09, 2026

ps

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*