



CRM-M-69096-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213/2

CRM-M-69096-2025
Decided on : 29.01.2026

Rajat Kumar alias Naag

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Shailender Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Abhiraj Singh, Advocate and
Ms. Priya Bhati, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Rajat Kumar @ Naag	388	26.09.2023	406, 420, 120-B IPC (Sections 201, 467, 468, 471 IPC added later on)	Civil Lines, Kaithal	Kaithal

2. As per allegations in the case, petitioner–Rajat Kumar @ Naag and Guddi had assured the complainant-Kulwinder Singh, to get a job for him in the Railway Department and on that account, an amount of Rs. 10,00,000/-, was received by them.



CRM-M-69096-2025

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is inside jail for the last more than a period of six months and after completion of investigation, final report against him has already been submitted before the Court. He further submits that all the offences alleged are triable by the Court of learned Magistrate and culmination of trial would take considerable time. Thus, prays for grant of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that though the challan has been submitted, however, an amount of Rs. 6,50,000/- was found to be credited in the account of the petitioner. During investigation, only an amount of Rs. 10,000/- has been recovered from him. However, he does not deny the fact that offences are triable by the Court of learned Magistrate and the petitioner is inside jail for the last more than a period of six months.

5. Taking into consideration the nature of allegations and the fact that culmination of trial would likely to consume considerable time, as the offences are triable by the Court of learned Magistrate, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

6. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

8. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found



CRM-M-69096-2025

indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

9. Petition stands **disposed of**.

Misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 29, 2025
reena

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No