

2026:PHHC:044926



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.68460 of 2025

Kirpal Singh @ Gorpala ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

1.	The date when the judgment is reserved	19.03.2026
2.	The date when the judgment is pronounced	23.03.2026
3.	The date when the judgment is uploaded on the website	23.03.2026
4.	Whether only operative part of the judgment is pronounced or whether the full judgment is pronounced	Full
5.	The delay, if any, of the pronouncement of full judgment, and reasons thereof	Not applicable

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Fateh Singh Bhullar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

MANISHA BATRA, J.

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under
Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short

2026:PHHC:044926



“BNSS”) seeking regular bail in the FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
207	19.12.2024	Khalra, District Tarn Taran	109 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (For short “BNS”) and 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 (238 of BNS added later on)

2. As per the allegations, on 19.12.2024, the complainant Harish Kumar Dhawan was present in the house of his friend Akashdeep Singh when he was called by someone. On going outside, he found Gurmandeep Singh @ Raja to be standing there. He told the complainant that Sukhdev Singh, Sarpanch of Village Khalra was calling him. On being so informed, the complainant along with the said Gurmandeep Singh went towards the turn of the street where two youths were found standing on a motor bike with Sarpanch Sukhdev Singh. Sukhdev Singh proclaimed that the complainant should be made to sit on the bike. Then on the asking of Sukhdev Singh, shot was fired with some firearm upon him by Gurmandeep Singh that hit his left knee. He started bleeding and raised alarm. Gurmandeep Singh again tried to fire a shot but the same was missed. In the meanwhile, his family members and others reached at the spot and raised clamour, on hearing which the assailants fled away.

3. After registration of FIR, investigation proceedings were initiated. Sukhdev Singh, Sarpanch was declared to be innocent during the course of investigation. Accused Gurmandeep Singh was arrested on

09.06.2025. He suffered disclosure statement saying that he was a drug

2026:PHHC:044926



addict and had asked the complainant to lend him some money who in turn had abused him and feeling offended, he had called the complainant on 19.12.2024 and along with his friend Kirpal Singh i.e. the present petitioner and another youth, had fired shots at the victim. On the basis of his statement, the petitioner was nominated as additional accused. He was arrested on 12.06.2025. He suffered disclosure statement on the basis of which Amritpal Singh was nominated as additional accused. He was arrested on 14.06.2025. Investigation now stands completed.

4. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused Gurmandeep Singh @ Raja which cannot be considered to be admissible in evidence. He is in custody since 12.06.2025. The trial will take considerable time to conclude. No specific overt act has been attributed to him. The injury sustained by the victim was opined to be simple in nature. He has clean antecedents. It is, therefore, argued that the petition deserves to be allowed.

5. Per contra, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab has argued that keeping in view the gravity of the allegations as levelled against the petitioner, the petition does not deserve to be allowed.

6. This Court has considered the rival submissions.

7. The petitioner is in custody since 12.06.2025. He is not required for further investigation. No fruitful purpose would be served by detaining him in custody. Taking into consideration his antecedents, the period of his

2026:PHHC:044926



incarceration and the attendant facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner deserves to be released on bail. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail subject to his furnishing personal as well as surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

8. It is, however, clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

23.03.2026
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No