



140

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-71458-2025

Date of Decision:- 09.04.2026

PRINCE KUMAR

....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the complainant.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner has filed instant second petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in FIR No.8 dated 12.03.2024 under Sections 12, 17 and 6 of POCSO Act, 2012 and Section 506 of IPC (Section 75 of Juvenile Justice Act and Sections 109, 457, 354 and 376 (2) (n) IPC, 1860 added later-on in challan) registered at Police Station Women, District Ambala (Annexure P-1).

2. As per facts of the case, complainant 'AK' filed written complaint against Harwinder Kaur, Avtar Singh @ Peter and Jarnail Kaur. Jarnail Kaur is the mother of Harwinder Kaur accused No.1. Aforesaid named accused persons were having a gang and they were indulging girls in flesh trade. Harwinder Kaur accused No.1 allured the victim 'GK' for



indulging into said immoral activities. However, victim refused. Finally Harwinder Kaur accused No.1 finding an opportunity supported accused No.2 Avtar @ Peter to commit forcible rape with her grand-daughter. They also clicked obscene photographs and prepared video. Victim was blackmailed and she was raped by Peter @ Avtar Singh accused No.2 repeatedly. In December, 2023 complainant had to go Amritsar to pay obeisance and in her absence, victim was taken to Hotel in Ambala where she was forcibly raped by Suresh Rana and Rohit Rana. Victim resisted but they told her that Harwinder Kaur and Peter @ Avtar Singh had received Rs.10,000/- from them. Her grand-daughter used to remain silent and she was frightened. She insisted the victim who finally disclosed about the entire occurrence on 06.03.2024. Aforesaid accused persons were also trying to indulge her another grand-daughter in this flesh trade. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel representing petitioner pointed out that first regular bail petition filed by the petitioner has been declined by this Court in CRM-M-16211-2025 decided on 24.07.2025 (Annexure P-11). Petitioner was falsely implicated in this case. He is behind the bars since 03.05.2024. Challan has been presented (Annexure P-7). Trial in this case is going on. Testimony of alleged victim is recorded as PW1 (Annexure P-8). Along with the bail petition, he has placed on record testimony of victim recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. (Annexure P-4) as well as supplementary statement of the victim (Annexure P-5). Copy of MLR of the victim is Annexure P-6. Report of Forensic Science Laboratory, Madhuban is Annexure P-10. He cannot be kept behind the bars for



indefinite time period. He is ready to abide by the terms of bail order. Therefore, his regular bail petition may be allowed.

4. Learned counsel representing State of Haryana assisted by learned counsel for the complainant opposed the bail petition filed by the petitioner . It is pointed out that trial in this case is in progress. There are serious allegations against the petitioner. Statement of victim along with medical record is also attached with the status report. After completion of investigation, supplementary challan has been presented against the petitioner along with co-accused. Out of 31 prosecution witnesses, 17 prosecution witnesses are already examined. Victim has fully supported the prosecution case. Victim who was about 16 years of age was sexually exploited by the petitioner and other co-accused. Considering the gravity of offence, petitioner is not entitled to be released on regular bail.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record carefully. It is matter of record that first regular bail petition filed by petitioner was declined by this Court on merits in CRM-M-16211-2025 vide order dated 24.07.2025 (Annexure P-11). Second regular bail petition has been filed in December, 2025 with same facts and circumstances. It is a case of sexual exploitation of the minor girl by the accused persons who had constituted a gang and were indulging girls in flesh trade. Victim named the petitioner along with other co-accused in the commission of offence. Initially she named Suresh Rana and Rohit Rana and during investigation it was revealed that in-fact they were Prince and Hemant. Victim did not know the real names of the accused. At present trial in this case is going on. Victim as PW1 fully supported the allegations. As per

status report, till date, 17 prosecution witnesses are already examined. Considering the manner in which offence has been committed and its gravity, I do not find a fit case for grant of regular bail and accordingly second regular bail petition filed by petitioner is declined. Considering the custody period of petitioner, trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

09.04.2026
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No