



CRM-M-68405-2025

-1-

227

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-68405-2025

Date of Decision:-03.02.2026

Vinny Shukla alias Biney Shukla alias Biney Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amrit Kaur Mahir, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arjun Kapur, Advocate
for the complainant (through Video Conferencing).

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner – Vinny Shukla alias Biney Shukla alias Biney Rani has filed present petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in FIR No.102 dated 02.09.2025, under Section 108, 3(5) of BNS, registered at Police Station Sadar Rajpura, District Patiala (Annexure P-1).

2. As per facts of case, complainant Vikramjeet gave his statement that in the year 1999, he got married with Vini Shukla alias Vini Rani. Out of this wedlock, they were having a daughter i.e. victim aged 17 years and younger daughter 'N S'. After the birth of younger daughter, attitude of his wife totally changed and finally, they took divorce on 06.02.2023. Both the daughters were under his care and custody. On 22.02.2023, he had to attend



a function in UP and he left his elder daughter i.e. the victim with his sister Anju Bala Tiwari wife of Satish Kumar Tiwari, as her 9th standard examination was going on. He returned from UP after 5-6 months and on this, the victim disclosed that on 22.02.2023, her uncle/*fufa* Satish Kumar Tiwari touched her private parts and kissed her. Regarding this incident, FIR No.138 of 2024 was registered under Section 72(2) of BNS and Section 7 of POCSO Act, at Police Station Sadar Rajpura. Even thereafter Satish Kumar Tiwari and his sister Anju Bala Tiwari tried to put pressure on the victim, as a result she was in depression. On 27.08.2025, he along with his second wife, Simriti went to Delhi in relation to the case filed by his first wife and he left both the daughters at home. On 01.09.2025 at about 5:00 PM, he had a talk with his younger daughter through CCTV camera and wanted to talk to his elder daughter. On this, his younger daughter informed that the body of victim was hanging in the washing area and was unconscious. He called his neighbour, George Deep Bawa to go home immediately and to check. He confirmed on telephone that the victim had committed suicide by hanging. The matter was reported to the police. He returned home from Delhi. The dead body was shifted to A.P.Jain Hospital, Rajpura. The complainant alleged that the victim was being harassed by Satish Kumar Tiwari, Anju Bala Tiwari and his divorced wife Vini Shukla alias Vini Rani and because of this reason, this unfortunate incident took place. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that she is falsely implicated in this case due to matrimonial dispute with complainant Vikramjeet. The complainant Vikramjeet did not comply the settlement



CRM-M-68405-2025

-3-

deed/Memorandum of Understanding (Annexure P-2). As a result, she filed contempt petition, which is still going on. Copy of contempt petition is Annexure P-4 and copy of order dated 01.05.2025 passed by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Shravasti is Annexure P-5. In order to put pressure on her, she is falsely named in the FIR. She was arrested in this case on 17.10.2025 and since then she is behind the bars. Her regular bail application was wrongly declined by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala vide order dated 20.11.2025 (Annexure P-7). Petitioner will abide by the terms and conditions of bail order. Therefore, her regular bail petition may be allowed.

4. Status report filed through registry and the same is taken on record. Bail petition is opposed by learned counsel representing complainant by taking the stand that she is named in the FIR. The victim was in touch with the petitioner seeking help. Even then, she did not take any steps. The victim lost her life. Considering the gravity of offence, petitioner is not entitled to be released on regular bail.

Learned counsel representing State pointed out that present petitioner was arrested in this case on 18.10.2025. The investigation was completed and challan is already presented before the Illaqa Magistrate on 12.12.2025. Commitment order has been passed on 07.01.2026 and the trial in this case is yet to commence.

5. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. The facts narrated in the FIR indicate that present petitioner is the first wife of complainant Vikramjeet from whom he had taken divorce. The copy of decree of divorce dated 06.02.2023 is Annexure P-3. Admittedly, both the daughters were staying with their father. The father had performed second



CRM-M-68405-2025

-4-

marriage with Simriti. However, contempt petition was filed by the present petitioner against her first husband and that matter was going on when this unfortunate occurrence took place. Along with the main accused, the name of present petitioner is also mentioned by the complainant. Even otherwise, she has already joined the investigation and at present she is in judicial lock-up. Trial in this case is yet to start.

Considering the aforesaid factual position, no purpose would be served by keeping her behind the bars. Therefore, without expressing my mind on the merits of the case, regular bail petition filed by petitioner – Vinny Shukla alias Biney Shukla alias Biney Rani is allowed. She is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Judge concerned.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, in both cases, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

03.02.2026

*Sunil Devi***(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:Yes/No
Yes/No