

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

124

CRM-M-68994-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.02.2026

Nirmal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL.

Present: Mr. Ajaypal Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-1795-2026

This application has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for placing on record the affidavits of respondent No.2 and 3 in support of compromise as Annexure P-10 and P-11.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and affidavits of respondent No.2 and 3 are ordered to be taken on record as Annexure P-10 and P-11.

Main case:

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short-'BNSS') for quashing of impugned complaint NO. COMI-41 of 2022 dated 02.03.2022 under Sections 307,336,341,323,427,34 IPC and Sections 25,27 of Arms Act and summoning order dated 24.03.2022 under Sections 307,336,341,323,427,34



IPC and Sections 25,27 of Arms Act passed by Id. JMIC, Batala and all consequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 05.11.2025.


2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, Assistant A.G.Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1-State. Learned State counsel states that no other FIR has been registered against the petitioners and they have no known criminal antecedents.

4. Mr. Daljeet Singh Randhawa, Advocate for Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and 3 and filed his power of attorney in the Court today and the same is taken on record. He confirms the factum of the compromise having been effected between the parties and states that respondent Nos. 2 and 3 have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in question.

5. In that view of the matter, even if this case is taken to its logical conclusion, it would only be an exercise in futility as the parties have already settled their differences. Therefore, no purpose would be served in wasting the time and resources of the State in pursuing this case to its pre-ordained end. It would, therefore, be better to give a quietus to the issue at this stage.

6. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and impugned complaint NO. COMI-41 of 2022 dated 02.03.2022 under Sections 307,336,341,323,427,34 IPC and Sections 25,27 of Arms Act and summoning order dated 24.03.2022 under Sections 307,336,341,323,427,34 IPC and Sections 25,27 of Arms Act passed by Id. JMIC, Batala and all the



subsequent proceedings arising therefrom stands quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise subject to payment of Rs. 20,000/- as costs to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Employees Welfare Association Fund having Account No.37167209613 in State Bank of India, High Court Branch, Chandigarh, IFSC Code No.SBIN0050306.

7. Pending applications, if any, shall also be disposed of.

17.02.2026

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No