



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-68160-2025

KAWAL NAIN

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

Date of decision: 29.01.2026

Date of uploading:29.01.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Bhargav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kushagra Beniwal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.0101 dated 15.02.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 406, 420 of IPC & Sections 10 and 24 of the Immigration Act, at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

2. On 19.12.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.0101 dated 15.02.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 406, 420 of IPC & Sections 10 and 24 of the Immigration Act, at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is a man aged 67 years, the prime allegations are against the son and



daughter- in-law of the petitioner, no effective recovery is to be made from the petitioner & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein as also to surrender his passport before the concerned Illaqa Magistrate.

Adjourned to 29.01.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 02.01.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. The petitioner is directed to deposit his passport before the concerned Illaqa Magistrate and produce proof thereof before this Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and has also deposited his passport before the concerned Court on 08.01.2026, in compliance with the order dated 19.12.2025 passed by this Court.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 19.12.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that the allegations raised against the petitioner are direct/serious in nature and hence he ought not to be extended the concession of anticipatory bail. He has further iterated that in case the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the investigation/witnesses.

6. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and



cooperated therein and is no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 19.12.2025, granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

7. Ordered accordingly.
8. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
9. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
10. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
11. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

29.01.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No