



CWP-36854-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CWP-36854-2025

Date of Decision: 11.02.2026

Hrithik Singh**...Petitioner**

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh Administration and others**...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: - Ms. Kamanpreet Kaur, Advocate (*through video conferencing*)
for Ms. Amarjeet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Madhu Dayal, Additional Standing Counsel and
Ms. Avneet Kaur, Panel Counsel
for respondent-U.T. Chandigarh

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 07.02.2025 (Annexure P-11) whereby respondents have rejected his claim on the ground that Gujar Caste is not specified in the Central List of OBCs for U.T. Chandigarh.

2. The petitioner is seeking relief against U.T. Administration. The petitioner has alternative and effective remedy to approach Central Administrative Tribunal ('CAT'). The similarly situated candidates approached CAT which vide order dated 01.12.2025 adjudicated the matter in their favour.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner expressed her inability to controvert that petitioner has alternative remedy to approach CAT.

4. In the backdrop, the petition stands dismissed with liberty to petitioner to approach CAT.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.02.2026
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No