

2026:PHHC:035125



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

CRM-M-68205-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 09.03.2026

YOGESH RAWAT

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Vikas Sheel Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Saroha, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anish Verma, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.391, dated 07.09.2025, registered at Police Station City, Palwal, Haryana (Annexure P-1), under Sections 109(1) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 27 of Arms Act, 1959 and Section 61(2) of BNS added later on.

2. On 08.01.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.391, dated 07.09.2025, registered at Police Station City, Palwal, Haryana (Annexure P-1), under Sections 109(1) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 27 of Arms Act, 1959 and Section 61(2) of BNS added later on.

2. Status report by way of an affidavit dated 19.12.2025 of Manoj Verma, H.P.S. Deputy Superintendent of Police, Palwal, District Palwal filed on behalf of the respondent-

State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and he has not been named in the FIR and no overt act is attributed to him. The offence, in question, was committed by Dhurender, Prem Chand, and Dev Raj, who have already been arrested. Further, a compromise has been effected between the petitioner and the victim, a copy of which has been placed on record. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by the Court, and therefore prays that the benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner has committed a heinous offence and he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

5. However, learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 has appeared and admitted that a compromise has been effected with the petitioner and that no overt act is attributed to him.

6. Adjourned to 09.03.2026.

7. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under subsection (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section."

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ranjeet Singh, affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 08.01.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

09.03.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No