



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-5943-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 08.04.2026

Geeta Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

Anurag Rastogi and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Harkirat Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anuj Garg, DAG, Haryana.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

On 16.03.2026, the following order was passed:-

“The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of the order dated 28.07.2025 (Annexure P-1), passed in CWP-21303-2025, vide which a direction had been issued to respondent No.1 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 08.05.2025, submitted on behalf of the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to all concerned, preferably within a period of three months.

On 04.12.2025, when the matter was taken up for the first time, learned State counsel sought some time to seek instructions and submit a compliance report. The matter was accordingly adjourned to 19.01.2026. On the said date, further time was sought to obtain instructions and the matter was again adjourned to 30.01.2026 with a direction that no further opportunity would be granted. However, on the next date, learned State counsel prayed for yet another opportunity to submit a detailed response, whereupon formal notice of motion was issued.

Today, learned State counsel prays for yet another opportunity to submit response.



In the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to 08.04.2026 for filing of response, subject to payment of costs of Rs.20,000/- to be deposited with the High Court Legal Services Committee.

It shall also be ascertained as to who is responsible for the delay in submitting the reply, and the competent authority would be at liberty to recover the said costs from the delinquent official(s).”

2. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the requisite speaking order, in compliance of the order dated 28.07.2025, passed in CWP-21303-2025, has been passed, the petitioner does not wish to press the instant contempt petition.
3. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present contempt petition is disposed of in terms thereof. The costs imposed vide order dated 16.03.2026 are waived.
4. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

April 8, 2026
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No