



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

227

CRM-M-68088-2025
Date of Decision : 03.02.2026

KRISHAN KUMAR

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition for grant of anticipatory bail has been filed by petitioner, a co-accused in case bearing FIR No.211 dated 12.11.2025 registered against him at Police Station Pillu Khera, Jind, for the commission of offences punishable u/s 406, 420, 506 of IPC.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajesh, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not needed for further investigation.

3. On 04.12.2025, following order was passed.

“This petition for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 482 BNSS, has been filed by petitioner, an accused in case bearing FIR No.211 dated 12.11.2025 registered against him at Police Station Pillu Khera, Jind, for the commission of offences punishable u/s 406, 420, 506 of IPC.



While mentioning the factual backdrop of the case, learned counsel submits that co-accused Mahavir, owner of land measuring 8 kanal situated in Village Morkhi, Khewat No.681, Murabha No.44 (as per jamabandi for the year 2015-2016) had executed an agreement to sell the aforesaid land in favour of complainant – Shiv Dutt on 03.04.2019. Earnest money of Rs. 8 lakh was received and physical possession was handed over to complainant. On the said agreement to sell, petitioner was an attesting witness. Both the parties had agreed that sale deed would be executed on 01.03.2020. But somehow sale deed could not be executed. Complainant as also did not file any suit for specific performance.

Further, as per learned counsel the facts make it quite clear that civil dispute has been given a criminal colour only with a view to arm-twist/pressurize the accused-party, as also to extract illegal monetary benefit from them.

Learned counsel contends that very fact that FIR has been registered after an unexplained delay of 06 years, is indicative that the local police officials are hand in glove with the complainant, nonetheless, petitioner, who is not a beneficiary of the alleged sale transaction, is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Shweta Nahata, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time to file detailed status report.

Adjourned to 27.01.2026.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.”



4. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner has joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 04.12.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.
5. The petition stands allowed.
6. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

03.02.2026
Nisha Yadav

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No