

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-35990-2025

Date of Decision : January 15, 2026

RATAN

-PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Ashish Jhamb, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mrs. Vasundhra Asija Bhandari, Advocate
for the respondent No.2.

Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the respondent No.3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, the petitioner impugns the order dated 11.05.2022, whereby the respondent No.2- Haryana School Education Board has rejected the petitioner's application seeking correction of his father's name in the matriculation certificate.

2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion, this Court had passed the following order:-

"Through the instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a challenge is thrown to the order dated 11.05.2022 (Annexure P-18), passed by the respondents-Board, whereby the petitioner's request for correction in his father's name was rejected.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice

on behalf of the respondents no.1 and 2, and waives service.

Notice upon respondent no.3, be issued, on furnishing the requisite process fee by the petitioner, returnable on 15.01.2026.

Reply, if any, be filed on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2, on or before the next date of hearing, with a copy in advance to learned counsel opposite.

To be shown in the urgent list.

It is made clear that no further request for an adjournment shall be entertained on the next date of hearing, on behalf of either of the parties.”

3. Mrs. Vasundhra Asija Bhandari, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of the respondent No.2, while Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of the respondent No.3- School.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 submits that the instant writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to furnish all identity proofs pertaining to his father to the respondent No.2, and with a further direction to the respondent No.3 to forward the relevant school records, including the admission register and other documents relating to the petitioner, so as to enable the respondent No.2 to verify and ascertain the correct name of the petitioner's father. It is further submitted that upon receipt of the requisite documents, the respondent No.2 shall reconsider the petitioner's application and pass a fresh order in accordance with law.

5. In view of the above submission, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondent No.3 undertake to furnish all identity proofs of the petitioner's father along with the relevant school records, including photocopies of the admission register and other pertinent documents, to the respondent No.2 within a period of 15 days from today.

6. Having regard to the consensus arrived at between the parties,

this Court, at this stage, refrains from examining the validity of the impugned order and disposes of the instant writ petition with a direction that, in the event the petitioner and the respondent No.3 supply the requisite documents (*supra*) to the respondent No.2 within 15 days from today, the respondent No.2 shall reconsider the petitioner’s application seeking correction of his father’s name in the matriculation certificate and shall pass a fresh order thereon in accordance with law.

7. **Disposed of accordingly.**

January 15, 2026
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No