



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COC-5928-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 09.02.2026

Sukhwant Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

Harvinder Singh Virk

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Harkirat Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of the directions issued vide order dated 13.10.2025, passed in CRWP-10887-2025 (Annexure P-3):-

“5. In the given facts and circumstances of the case, respondent no.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar Rural is directed to expedite the enquiry in complaints dated 08.09.2025 (Annexure P-1) and 10.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) of petitioner and pass appropriate orders thereon, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of one month, from today. In case, respondent no.3 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the complaints dated 08.09.2025 (Annexure P-1) and 10.09.2025 (Annexure P-2), the petitioner shall be informed as per mandate of law.

6. So far as the prayer for protection of life and liberty, is concerned, respondent no.2 shall consider the representation(s)/complaint(s) of the petitioner



and provide adequate security, in case threat is perceived.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

8. All the miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.”

2. Compliance report by way of affidavit of Mr. Harvinder Singh Virk, PPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural), filed in the Registry, is taken on record.

3. As per the said report, in compliance of the aforesaid directions, a speaking order dated 13.11.2025 (Annexure R-1/T) has been passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural).

4. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the petitioner does not wish to press the present contempt petition, at this stage, and shall, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law against the said speaking order.

5. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant contempt petition stands disposed of.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

February 9, 2026

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No