

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

2026:PHHC:045287



CRA-S-3738-2025 (O&M).
Date of decision: 23.03.2026.

HARWINDER SINGH ALIAS PARGAT ALIAS HARWINDER
SOHAL

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Onkar Singh Wahla, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Karanbir Berwal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-complainant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.859 dated 17.10.2024 registered for offences punishable under Sections 190, 191(2), 191(3), 115(2), 117(2), 117(4), 126(2), 324(4), 324(5), 140(3), 351(2), 61(2), 62 and 109(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25(1-B), 54 and 59 of the Arms Act, along with Section 3(2) (va) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, at Police Station Sadar Hisar, District Hisar; the appellant(s) has preferred the present appeal impugning the order dated 27.11.2025.

Status report on behalf of respondent-State has been filed in the

Court today. A copy thereof has been supplied to the counsel for the appellant(s). As per the status report, the appellant herein has availed financial assistance in all these cases.

Mr. Karanbir Berwal, Advocate, enters appearance on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant. He does not dispute that out of said cases, cancellation report was eventually submitted by the investigating agency in 05 cases and protest petitions have been filed.

Learned counsel for the appellant(s) submits that pursuant to the order dated 02.12.2025 passed by this Court, the appellant(s) has joined investigation and custodial interrogation of the appellant(s) is not required for further investigation of the case.

Learned State counsel, on instruction from Sub Inspector Kulbir Singh, corroborates the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the appellant (s) is not required for the purpose of investigation.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Without commenting any further in the present case at this stage, since the appellant(s) has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 02.12.2025 is made absolute.

March 23, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No