

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026 PIIIC:010001



(207)

CRM-M-67521-2025 (O&M)

Amarjit Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

Decided on : 27.01.2026

Date of uploading: 27.01.2026

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.133 dated 07.11.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 132, 221, 285, 115(2) of BNS 2023, at Police Station Sudhar, District Ludhiana; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 19.12.2025, the following order was passed:

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.133 dated 07.11.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 132, 221, 285, 115(2) of BNS 2023, at Police Station Sudhar, District Ludhiana; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question, the petitioner is a man with clean antecedents, the alleged scuffle took place on account of

folly by a combine driver and therefore, the petitioner cannot be fastened criminal liability thereof & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab.

At this stage; Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of the complainant.

Adjourned to 27.01.2026.

Respondents, including the complainant, are at liberty to file reply.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 24.12.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has filed reply by way of affidavit of the complainant dated 22.01.2026 in Court today, which is taken on record. A copy thereof has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned counsel appearing for the complainant while raising arguments in tandem with the said reply has opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that allegations raised against the petitioner are direct/serious in nature and in case the petitioner is afforded the protection of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that

he may flee from the process of justice as also interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the witnesses.

5. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation & cooperated therein and his custodial interrogation is not being sought by the State, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 19.12.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

January 27, 2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No

