



258

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-67722-2025

Date of decision: 11.05.2026

SANDEEP SINGH AND OTHERS**....Petitioners****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Pankaj Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinderjit S. Nahar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sarvesh Singla, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

H.S. GREWAL, J (Oral):

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.133, dated 24.07.2021, under Sections 341, 323, 324, 427, 148 and 149 of IPC at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 10.11.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the FIR is outcome of an unfortunate accident. It is submitted that the dispute between the parties has now been resolved and with the intervention of the respectables of the Society, the matter has indeed been compromised. He has referred to the compromise (Annexure P-2), in this regard and prays for quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

3. This Court vide order dated 29.01.2026 had, directed the



parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Sardulgarh and got their statements recorded. A copy of report dated 21.02.2026 has been submitted to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

5. Status report already filed through Registry by learned State counsel is taken on record.

6. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

8. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

9. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.133, dated 24.07.2021, under Sections 341, 323, 324, 427, 148 and 149 of IPC at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua the petitioners are hereby quashed subject to deposit of Rs.10,000/- towards costs with the Punjab



and Haryana High Court Dispensary Welfare Fund (A/c No.45115269583).

10. Pending application, if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.05.2026
monika

- 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes /No