



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(104-2)

COCP-5906-2025

Date of decision: - 16.02.2026

Nirmala Devi and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Anurag Rastogi and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate,
Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate, and
Ms. Ashmit Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present contempt petition has been filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act read along with Article 215 of the Constitution of India for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents for making deliberate and intentional violation of the order dated 21.02.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

2. On 15.01.2026, this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

*“Present: Mr.Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate and
Ms.Mona Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.*

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.



Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that within 4 weeks, the amount due to the all the petitioners would be released.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, on the other hand, has pointed out that the order of which the contempt is alleged was passed on 15.10.2024 / 21.02.2025 and the persons, who are co-petitioners with the petitioner, had earlier filed contempt petitions in which compliance has been made on 30.09.2025. It is submitted that in spite of the case of the petitioners being absolutely similar, every person, who was the petitioner in the writ petitions, had to separately file contempt petitions.

On 03.12.2025 this Court had already granted more than one month for submitting compliance report but the same has not been done. This Court was about to proceed in the present contempt petitions however at this stage, learned counsel for the respondents has vehemently submitted that the matter be adjourned and due compliance would be done in the present cases, failing which an amount of Rs.25,000/- would be paid in every petition as litigation expenses.

Adjourned to 16.02.2026.

To be shown in the urgent list.

In case the amount due to the petitioners is not paid to them, then apart from the respondents paying Rs.25,000/- per petition, respondent no.3 is directed to be present in person in the Court on the next date of hearing.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

January 15, 2026”

3. Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that as far as petitioners No.5 and 7 are concerned, the amount has already been



released to the said petitioners. It is further submitted that with respect to petitioner Nos.1 to 3, 4 and 6, he has instructions to submit that the case has been forwarded to the State Bank of India, Barwala Branch and the amount would be released to them within a period of 10 days from today, if not already released.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the above, the present contempt petition be disposed of but in case the same is not complied with, then, liberty be granted to the petitioners to revive the case and the respondents be also directed to pay an amount of Rs.25,000/- to petitioner Nos.1 to 3, 4 and 6 as litigation expenses, as directed vide order dated 15.01.2026.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that in case the needful is not done within the aforesaid period, an amount of Rs.25,000/- would be released to petitioner Nos.1 to 3, 4 and 6 as litigation expenses.

6. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of, with the aforesaid liberty.

February 16, 2026
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No