

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

131

2026:PHHC:034009



CRM-M-67743-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.03.2026.

RAVINDER SINGH @ RAVI SAAB

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.T. CHANDIGARH

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Amandeep Singh Jawandha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Alankrit Bhardwaj, Addl. P.P. U.T. Chandigarh.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

This is the first petition filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in
case bearing FIR No.43 dated 10.04.2025, under Section(s) 406, 420 and 120-
B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Challan presented only under Sections 420
and 120-B IPC), registered at Police Station Central Sector 17, District
Chandigarh.

Status report on behalf of respondent-State has been filed in the

Court today. The same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

FIR in the present case was registered on the complaint made by Niki Begum wife of Budhu Khan and Gani Mohd. son of Mamdin which reads thus: –

“Complaint for taking legal action against: (1) Ravinder Singh, Prop. M/s BB Council, Sector 17, Block-D, SCO 69, Chandigarh. M.9878471008. (2) Arti, M.9815291008, Prop. M/s BB Council, Sector 17, Block-D, SCO 69, Chandigarh for deceiving me in order to send my daughter abroad namely Baggo Bibi to the tune of Rs.5,57,000/-. Sir, it is requested to you that I Niki Begam wife of Budhu Khan, resident of village Daler Singh Wala, Tehsil and District Mansa and I submit as under:- (1) That my daughter namely Baggo Bibi was interested in going abroad (Canada) she scored overall 6 band in IELTS. (2) that the BB council, Sector 17, Block-D, SCO 69, Chandigarh were advertising for sending the people abroad on study basis and work permit and in this regard BB Council were advertising and in which they had given their phone no. and on those numbers I and my daughter spoke to them for going abroad and the documents and other requirements. They took original passport and other documents from us and also demanded money and the owner of the institution namely Ravinder Singh called us in his office and told us the formalities for processing the file and other benefits and he gave us assurance and on the assurance given by the owner of the above stated institution and in order to provide us all the facilities for going abroad and in order to send my daughter in Canada on studies basis. They demanded money from us and they took Rs.2,57,000/- from me and my brother Gunny Mohammad in the bank account of Ravinder Singh account no. 632201023130 in ICICI Bank on different dates and Arti took Rs.3,00,000/- in cash from us. The above stated firm took 10 blank cheques from me but after passage of lot of time when me and my brother Gunny Mohammad enquired about the status of

the file and the above stated persons gave us assurance but after that they stopped picking up our phone. That the above stated Ravinder Singh and Arti neither they sent my daughter Baggo Bibi in Canada nor they returned the money and they kept on making excuses regarding my daughter Baggo Bibi. (3) That the suspicion is created that instead of telling the status of the file of my daughter Baggo Bibi, they started ignoring our phones and it seems that they have not processed the file of my daughter, rather they have kept me and my daughter in dark and by keeping us in dark, they have deceived us with money. (4) That we have come to know that cases have been registered against these persons by the children, who wanted to go abroad for cheating and deceiving with money and they have cheated my daughter Baggo Bibi and they have deceived us with money. (5) That we made many rounds in their office but Ravinder Singh and Arti tried to win over us, but we have come to know that Ravinder Singh and Arti have deceived us. (6) and when me and my brother Gunny Mohammad requested Ravinder Singh and Arti to return our money, but they started threatening us and told us that they will misuse the documents of my daughter. So, the complaint is presented to you and it is humbly requested that the legal action be taken against the above stated persons for deceiving me in order to send my daughter Baggo Bibi abroad and the money and the blank cheques be returned to us and justice be given to us. I shall be very thankful to you, thanking you, Sd/-."

3 Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the aforesaid case and has not committed the offence. He contends that the petitioner is the proprietor of M/s B.B. Council which provides wide range of services including skilled immigration and student visas. He contends that there was no forgery or fabrication of any documents provided by the petitioner at any stage whatsoever and the FIR has been registered with an intent to harass and

humiliate the petitioner.

4 Counsel for the petitioner further argued with vehemence that the complainant has failed to establish that the necessary ingredients of forgery or cheating, at the time of entering into transaction, are fulfilled. He submits that the petitioner is in custody since 15.07.2025. The investigation in the case is complete and the final report already stands filed. It is submitted that the offences in question are triable by the Court of Judicial Magistrate and the same are likely to take long before trial comes to an end.

5 Learned counsel appearing for the U.T. Chandigarh contends that there are 16 other criminal cases of similar nature that have been registered against the petitioner in which he has duped as many as 127 victims for a sum of Rs.4,65,47,500/- approximately. He contends that the petitioner is thus habitual of committing such and similar offences. He thus submits that taking into consideration the involvement of the petitioner in large number of such instances, the period of custody of about six months undergone by the petitioner cannot be said to be unduly long. He further contends that the regular bail of the petitioner in another FIR has already been dismissed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 19.02.2026 passed in CRM-M-67744-2025.

6 Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, registration of as many as 16 cases against him and the large number of victims i.e. 127 and also the bail petition for regular bail already being dismissed by this Court vide order dated 19.02.2026 passed in CRM-M-67744-2025, I am of the opinion that the petitioner has not been able to make out any different case so

as to take a view different from the view already taken by a Coordinate Bench of this Court. The present petition is accordingly dismissed.

7 The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

March 05, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*

RAJ KUMAR ARORA
2026.03.06 17:31
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document