



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-35631-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.01.2026

Komal @ Komal Kakkar

....Petitioner

Versus

Panjab University Chandigarh and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Jagmohan Singh Bhatti, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, Additional Standing Counsel, and
Mr. Tanvir Singh Attariwala, Junior Panel Counsel,
for respondent No.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

CM-18275-CWP-2025

Application is allowed.

The asked for leave is granted.

Main case

1. On the previous date of hearing, i.e. 29.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only on account of non-deposit of late fee, the petitioner has been debarred from taking first semester (re-appear) examinations of M.Sc. (Home Science), which are to be conducted by respondent No.1. He further submits that since the petitioner belongs to the weaker section of Society, she is not in a position to remit the late fee.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, Additional Standing Counsel, who is appearing virtually, along with Mr. Tanvir Singh Attariwala,



Junior Panel Counsel, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2. He prays for a short accommodation to seek instructions, and also to file response, if any.

Adjourned to 22.01.2026, awaiting service upon respondent No.1.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is provisionally allowed to appear in the examinations, which are slated to take place from 01.12.2025. Further, respondent No.1 is directed to ensure that petitioner shall be issued a roll number, in this regard, at the earliest. However, it is clarified that this interim order shall not create any equity in favour of the petitioner and the result shall be subject to the final outcome of the writ petition.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 undertakes to inform learned counsel for respondent No.1 about the order passed by this Court.”

2. Today, Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of the respondent-University, and submits that, in compliance of the order (supra), the petitioner was allowed to take the examination(s). In this regard, he has produced a copy of the provisional admit card issued to the petitioner, along with other documents, which is taken on record as Mark ‘A’.
3. Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing survives for adjudication in the instant writ petition.
4. In view of the abovesaid statements made on behalf of learned counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is **disposed of**, as having been rendered infructuous.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

22.01.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No