



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-5915-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 12.03.2026

Hitesh

... Petitioner

Versus

Chinmai Garg, HCS, and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Abhimanu Jangra, Advocate, for
Mr. Mandeep Singh Lamba, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anuj Garg, DAG, Haryana.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of the directions issued vide order dated 12.08.2025 (Annexure P-1), passed in CWP-18380-2024:-

“14. In the wake of above discussion and findings, this Court is of the considered opinion that petitioner deserves to be considered under DESM Category. He has rightly been issued appointment letter and there is no occasion to deny him post of Constable.”

2. Learned State counsel sought a number of adjournments to comply with the said directions. However, today, he has produced a copy of communication dated 12.03.2026, issued by respondent No.1 to the office of the Director General of Police, Haryana, Panchkula (respondent No.2), recommending the appointment of the petitioner under UR-DESM category. The said communication is retained on the record as **Mark 'X'**.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions Mr. Devender Kumar, Assistant, O/o DGP, Haryana, further states that the appointment letter shall be issued within a period of one week.

4. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant contempt petition may be disposed of in terms of the statement



given by learned State counsel. However, the petitioner shall, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law against the said speaking order.

5. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the parties, the present contempt petition is disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 12, 2026
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No