

2026:PHHC:024510



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-67151-2025
Date of decision :16.02.2026**

VIJAY KUMAR

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Toor, A.A.G., Punjab.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.122 dated 24.08.2004 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 324, 326, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Phagwara, District Kapurthala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

At the very outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner apprised this Court that FIR qua the rest of the co-accused already stand set aside vide order dated 17.03.2016 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 02.12.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 21.11.2025 (P-3).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 02.12.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Phagwara and as per the report dated 16.12.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “*Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (CrL) 543*”.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Phagwara accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.122 dated 24.08.2004 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 324, 326, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Phagwara, District Kapurthala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

16.02.2026
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No