



135

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-67546-2025
DECIDED ON: 11.03.2026**

SANDEEP**.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA**.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Mr. Anil Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of regular bail, in case, FIR No.138, dated 01.05.2024, under Sections 201, 302 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Hansi, District Hansi.
2. After hearing the submissions addressed by counsel for the petitioner, on 27.02.2026, following order was passed by this Court:-

“2. In the incident of murder which took place on 01.05.2024, a dead body was noticed by the complainant – Wazir Singh, in front of the house of Dilbag, whereupon the aforesaid FIR was registered against unknown persons. Several injury marks were also noticed on the body, which were duly mentioned in the FIR.

3. During investigation, prosecution found that the person seen in the CCTV footage was Sandeep (petitioner herein), a resident of Kanwari, Tehsil Hansi, District Hisar. In order to establish his identity, statement under Section 161 Cr.P.C. of one Banwari Lal, resident of Kanwari, District Hisar, was recorded. However, when the said witness appeared before the trial Court as PW-1, he turned hostile and refused to

identify the petitioner as the person shown in the CCTV footage.

4. Learned State counsel is granted time to satisfy this Court as to in what other manner the identity of the person appearing in the CCTV footage can be established as that of the petitioner, who is facing trial in the present case.

5. List again on 11.03.2026.”

3. Today, learned State counsel has filed status report dated 10.03.2026 along with the pen drive, in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

4. Registry is directed to keep the pen drive enclosed with the status report, in a secured place, so that the same would not get misplaced.

5. Paragraph No.2 of the status report, which provides a description of the CCTV footage confirming the identity of the petitioner with the deceased, Mahabir, is reproduced below:-

“2. That, it is pertinent to mention here that CCTV footage was obtained by the police official during the investigation of the case from the liquor vend and analyzed the CCTV footage. It was found that the present petitioner, namely Sandeep, and the deceased Mahabir were came at the liquor vend on the motorcycle of the present petitioner and both were present at the liquor vend at about 7:46 p.m. on 30.04.2024. It is pertinent to mention here that CCTV footage clearly shows that both were gathered and present petitioner took the liquor from the liquor vend. It is further submitted that CCTV footage is sufficient evidence to prove that the present petitioner, along with the deceased Mahabir, on the evening of the incident, and the present petitioner, namely Sandeep, committed the murder of the deceased Mahabir. The CCTV footage is annexed herewith by way of a pen drive as Annexure R-1.

In view of the facts and circumstances explained above, it is, therefore, humbly prayed to this Hon'ble Court that the petition of the petitioner may

kindly be dismissed, being devoid of merit, and the present status report may kindly be accepted.”

6. In view of the stand taken in the status report by the State, this Court does not find any reason to consider the plea of regular bail to the petitioner. **Hence, present petition is dismissed.**

11.03.2026
Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>