



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

302

CRM-M-67147-2025

NEERAJ CHAUDHRY

....PETITIONERS

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

Date of decision: 29.01.2026

Date of Uploading:29.01.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Pavan Malik, Advocate for the petitioner (Through VC).

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Karan Gaba, Advocate for respondent No.3.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.0999 dated 03.06.2019 under Sections 406, 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, District Gurugram and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 02.11.2025 (Annexure P-6), which is stated to have been effected between the parties.

2 On 01.12.2025, the following order was passed:

“Prayer in the present petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 r/w 482 Cr.PC is for quashing of FIR No.999 dated 03.06.2019 under Sections 406 and 420 IPC registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar District Gurugram along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 02.11.2025 (Annexure P-6).



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the dispute in the main case i.e. in FIR No.999 dated 03.06.2019 impugned herein, has been resolved amicably and parties have agreed to get the proceedings quashed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Dr. Malvika Singh, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and prays for some time to file the reply/status report.

5. Mr. Karan Gaba, Advocate enters appearance on behalf of the respondent-complainant and he does not dispute the fact that the matter has been compromised between the parties. Learned counsel for the complainant undertakes to furnish his power of attorney in the Registry of this Court. 6. Subject to deposit of Rs.15,000/- by the petitioner with Red Cross Old Age Home, A/c No.50100286016319, IFS Code HDFC0004030, HDFC Bank, Sector 15, Panchkula, the parties are directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise on or before 12.01.2026 or any other date convenient to the Court. Thereupon, the concerned Court shall submit a detailed report, containing the information on the following points, along with copies of the statements to this Court, on or before the adjourned date:-

I. Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR;

II. Number of complainant/victim(s);

III. Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same;

IV. In case, any affected person (accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereof; OR

His/her statement is still to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person;

V. Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication;

VI. Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence;

VII. Any other aspect relevant to the present case.

7. To come up on 29.01.2026, awaiting report.

Reply by the respondent-State, if any, be filed on or before the next date of hearing.”

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, report dated 20.01.2026 from Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Gurugram, has been received, which is taken on record. As per the report, the Trial Court has recorded as follows:-

Name of the reporting Court	Ms. Rupam Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Gurugram
------------------------------------	--

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
999	3.6.2019	Shivaji Nagar, Gurugram, Haryana	420 of IPC

Criminal Case no. before trial Court	CHI/1731/2024
---	---------------

1.	Names of the complainant/ victims(s)/ aggrieved persons(s)	Complainant: Ved Prakash Goel
2.	Dates on which the statement(s) of the complainant/ victims(s)/ aggrieved persons(s) were recorded	08.01.2026
3.	Has the identity of the complainant/ victims(s)/ aggrieved persons(s) been verified?	Yes
4.	Whether all the victims/ all the aggrieved persons have compromised the matter?	Yes
5.	Is there pressure, threat, or coercion upon the victim(s)/aggrieved person(s)/complainant?	No
6.	Names of the accused person(s)	Neeraj Chaudhary
7.	Dates on which the statement(s) of the accused persons(s) recorded	08.01.2026
8.	Whether all the accused have compromised the matter? If no, then the names of the accused who have compromised.	Yes
9.	Whether proclamation proceedings are pending against any accused?	No
10.	Whether any adjudication pending against accused?	Yes
11.	Has the police report been filed or not?	Yes
12.	Notice of accusation /Charges have been framed or not?	No
13.	Sections of statutes invoked in the matter	420 of IPC
14.	Whether the court is satisfied with the	Yes

	genuineness of the compromise?	
--	--------------------------------	--

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 admits the factum of parties having compromised and states that he has no objection in case the FIR and all proceedings subsequent thereto against the petitioner is quashed.

5. Similarly, learned State counsel has stated no objection in case the FIR is quashed based upon the compromise (Annexure P-6).

6. I have heard learned Counsel for the parties and have carefully gone through the records of the case.

7. This Court and the Hon’ble Apex Court has repeatedly dealt with the issue of exercise of jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Code to quash proceeding in non-compoundable offences in the cases of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012(10) SCC 303, Kulwinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Ram Gopal and another vs. State of Madhya Pradesh, 2021(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 322 (Criminal Appeal No.1489 of 2012 decided on 29th of September, 2021).*** The proposition of law that emerges from the aforesaid decisions rendered by the Hon’ble Apex Court and this Court is :

- (a) *Power u/s 482 Cr.P.C. vested with this Court is much wider and is unaffected by Section 320 of the Code.*
- (b) *However, wider the power greater the caution.*
- (c) *The underlining principle while exercising such power is that it can be invoked to quash the proceedings recognizing compromise between the parties in the matters which are overwhelmingly and predominantly of civil character like commercial transactions or arising out of matrimonial relationship or family disputes.*
- (d) *The said power is not to be exercised in the prosecutions involving heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like*



murder, rape, dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have a serious impact on society.

- (e) Section 482 Cr.P.C. casts duty upon the High Court to advance interest of justice as well. It is in recognition of this duty casted upon the High Court, that Apex Court held that the High Court would not refuse to quash FIR under Section 307 merely because FIR finds mention thereof. High Court can assess nature of injuries sustained, whether such injuries inflicted on vital/delicate parts of the body/nature of weapons used etc.*
- (f) Such exercise at the hands of High Court would be permissible only after the evidence is collected after investigation and chargesheet is filed/charges framed during the trial. Such exercise cannot be carried out while the matter is still under investigation.*
- (g) While quashing FIR in non-compoundable offences even which are of private in nature, High Court is required to consider antecedents of the accused, conduct of the accused and whether he was absconding or whether he has managed the complainant to enter into a compromise.*

The statutory provision of Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 is same as the statutory provision of Section 482 of Cr.P.C., 1973. Therefore, the above said principles of law would apply to a petition under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 as well.

8. Thus, keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case to exercise jurisdiction vested u/s 528 of BNSS, 2023 to quash the FIR as :-

- (i) Putting a quietus to the proceedings will bring peace and tranquility amongst parties & will accordingly further the cause of substantial justice.*
- (ii) The offences alleged are primarily of private nature.*
- (iii) The parties have compromised.*
- (iv) As per the report received the compromise is said to be voluntary in its nature.*

- (v) Complainant/victim is reported to have entered into compromise on his own volition
9. Consequently, the petition is allowed. FIR No.0999 dated 03.06.2019 under Sections 406, 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Shivaji Nagar, District Gurugram and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 02.11.2025 (Annexure P-6), are, hereby, quashed.
10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

29.01.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No