



CRM-M-68049-2025 (O&amp;M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

258

CRM-M-68049-2025 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision:17.03.2026

Paramjit Kaur

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Sartaj Singh Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate, for respondents No.5 to 8.

Mr. S. P. Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.9.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)**

1. Learned counsel refers to Annexure P-1, being photographs of the incident caused by respondents No.5 to 8 and that Annexure P-2 has not been written by the petitioner but by the SHO concerned deleting specific allegations that she had levelled in her complaint and the names of the accused, while the representation of the petitioner, Annexure P-4, addressed to SSP, has not been considered. Prayer in that regard is made.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that representation, Annexure P-4, shall be looked into and enquired by the DSP and the petitioner shall be associated therewith as also the photographs and CCTV footage gone into, which satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.



CRM-M-68049-2025 (O&M)      2

3.                Disposed of accordingly and in case a representation is filed with regard to protection of life and liberty by the petitioner it be considered and if any threat perception is found, appropriate action be taken in accordance with law.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE

March 17, 2026  
dinesh

|                    |   |        |
|--------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking   | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable | : | Yes/No |