



RA-CW-554-2025 IN
CWP-20359-2013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RA-CW-554-2025 IN
CWP-20359-2013
Date of decision : 30.01.2026

Court on its own motion

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate,
and Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for the review-applicant/intervener.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor,
and Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. Public Prosecutor,
for U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Arun Gosain, Senior Government Counsel,
for UOI, ED, NCB & BSF.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J.

1. By way of filing the present review application under Section 114 read with Order XLVII Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, the applicant/intervener is seeking review/clarification of the order dated 15.09.2023 (sic. 02.08.2023) (Annexure RA-1).

2. Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate, learned counsel for the review-applicant contends that in para No.65 of the order under review, there is a reference of judgment of the Delhi High Court titled Aasha



RA-CW-554-2025 IN
CWP-20359-2013

Vs. State Government of N.C.T. of Delhi & another, passed in WPCRL No.2401 of 2017. In the said paragraph, there is also a reference and reproduction of the directions issued to the State of Punjab by the Division Bench of this Court. The said directions which have been reproduced, have been actually issued in the case titled as *Baljinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab*, CRA-D-917-DB-2011, decided on 22.01.2019, however, the title of the said case, i.e. Baljinder Singh (supra), is missing before the reproduction of the said guidelines, as such, clarification is required.

3. Learned counsel representing the other parties are also *ad idem* that factually, the directions reproduced in para No.65 of the order dated 15.09.2023 have been issued by the Division Bench of this Court in *Baljinder Singh's case (supra)*.

4. In view of the aforesaid factual aspects, it is observed that in the 8th line of para No.65 of the order dated 15.09.2023, passed in CWP-20359-2013, after the words “we are of the considered opinion that the directions which were issued”, the words *“in Baljinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab, CRA-D-917-DB-2011, decided on 22.01.2019”*, shall be read.

5. The review application is accordingly disposed of.

[HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN]
JUDGE

[SHEEL NAGU]
CHIEF JUSTICE

30.01.2026
atulsethi

Whether speaking / reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No