

2026:PHHC:008345



CR No.8830 of 2025 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

195

CR No.8830 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:21.01.2026

LAKHBIR SINGH @ LAKHVIR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA*

Present: Mr. Anirudh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

[1]. By way of present revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of direction to the learned Executing Court to decide the Execution No.33 of 2025 titled as '*Lakhbir Singh vs. State of Punjab and another*' within a time bound period in view of directions issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of '*Rahul S. Shah vs. Jinendra Kumar Gandhi and others*' reported as "*(2021) 6 SCC 418*".

[2]. Notice of motion.

[3]. On asking of the Court, Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and also in view of the nature of order which this Court proposes to pass at this stage, there is no necessity of calling upon response from the respondents at this stage, as no order prejudicial to their interest is being passed.

[4]. The petitioner filed Execution No.432 of 2021 on 09.12.2021 before the learned District Judge, Tarn Taran, however the same was not decided till 29.05.2025. Ultimately on 02.07.2025, the said execution petition was withdrawn by the petitioner, however with liberty to file a fresh one. Thereafter, the



CR No.8830 of 2025 (O&M)

petitioner(s) filed Execution Petition No.33 of 2025 on 24.08.2025 before the learned District Judge, Tarn Taran and since then the same is pending consideration, however, till date, the enhanced amount of compensation has not been disbursed to the petitioner(s) and other landowners.

[5]. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the petitioner being aggrieved of the non-disbursal of amount of compensation have filed the present revision petition for issuance of direction to the learned Executing Court concerned to decide the execution application expeditiously, in a time bound manner.

[6]. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book.

[7]. A perusal of record shows that execution application filed by the petitioner(s) on 24.08.2025 is pending for the adjudication.

[8]. In **Rahul S. Shah vs. Jinendra Kumar Gandhi and others** reported as **(2021) 6 SCC 418** the Hon'ble Supreme Court held as follows:-

“That the executing Court must dispose of execution proceedings within 6 months from the date of filing which may be extended only by recording reasons in writing for such delay.”

[9]. In view of above, the learned District Judge, Tarn Taran (the Executing Court) is requested to dispose of the Executing Petition No.33 of 2025 as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of next 06 months from today, as any further delay is going to cause serious prejudice to the rights of the petitioner/landowner.

[10]. It is made clear that nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the execution proceedings.

2026:PHHC:008345



CR No.8830 of 2025 (O&M)

[11]. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. Pending application(s), if any shall also disposed of.

January 21, 2026
Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No