



CWP-35968-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CWP-35968-2025

Date of Decision: 24.03.2026

Sonu Goyal

...Petitioner

Versus

Permanent Lok Adalat and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Umesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate

Ms. Divyanshu Kaushik, Advocate,

Ms. Malika Sobti, Advocate,

Ms. Devyani, Advocate,

Mr. Nitin Bhasin, Advocate,

Mr. Varun Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.2

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 08.05.2023 whereby learned Permanent Lok Adalat, Chandigarh has dismissed his application.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is factually correct that there is dispute between family members with respect to '*No Objection Certificate*', however, Chandigarh Power Distribution Limited may be directed to consider his grievance regarding separate domestic connection.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that respondent would consider petitioner's grievance, if he approaches it. Actually, the petitioner has not approached the electricity supplier.

**CWP-35968-2025****-2-**

4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner would approach electricity supplier.

5. The petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioner to approach electricity supplier who shall consider his request and resolve the matter in accordance with law within 8 weeks from the date of filing application.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.03.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No