



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
140

CRM-M-66858-2025
Decided on : 25.03.2026

Amar Singh . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Haryana . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Amar Singh, aged about 55 years	144	17.04.2024	20(b)(ii)B of NDPS Act, 1985	Sector 29	Gurugram

2. In the present case, on 28.11.2025, following order was passed
by this Court:-

“2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the present case, an FIR was registered on 17.04.2024 against one Vijay after 250 grams of charas was allegedly recovered from his possession. It is submitted that petitioner’s name surfaced only on the basis of Vijay’s disclosure statement and certain alleged telephonic calls between the arrested accused and the petitioner. Apart from these, there is no independent evidence to substantiate the allegation that petitioner supplied the recovered contraband to Vijay.
3. It is further argued that petitioner, aged about 55 years, has no past involvement in any similar offences, making the present allegations inherently improbable. Counsel submits that at this stage of the petitioner’s life, it is unlikely that he would engage in such serious offences carrying grave consequences. It is additionally submitted that



petitioner is willing to join and fully cooperate with the investigation, provided he is granted protection from arrest. Thus, counsel prays for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On advance notice, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.*

6. *Adjourned to 02.02.2026.*

7. *Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 28.11.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 11.12.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 28.11.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made **absolute**.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid



down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands **disposed of**, accordingly.

8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of passport of the petitioner to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 25, 2026

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No