

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**



CRM-M-67113-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.03.2026

SUMIT **... PETITIONER**
STATE OF HARYANA **VERSUS** **... RESPONDENT**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. I.S.Pabla, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.55 dated 26.02.2025 registered under Sections 109(1), 61(2), 238, 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Sections 25(1-B)-A-54-59 of Arms Act at Police Station Badli, Tehsil Badli, District Jhajjar.
2. The case of the prosecution is that one Naveen @ Jhabbu has threatened the complainant with whom he had a property dispute and shots were fired at the house of the complainant. Thereafter, one Dev was arrested in another FIR wherein on his disclosure statement, the petitioner was implicated in this case as the weapon recovered in that FIR was also used in the present occurrence.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been implicated falsely in the present case. It is stated that on the basis of disclosure statement of Dev, the petitioner has been nominated in the present case and the petitioner was stated to be driving the

scooty and Naveen @ Jhabbu was the one who has fired the shot at the house of the complainant. It is further submitted that the main accused Naveen @ Jhabbu has already been granted bail as he has compromised the matter with the complainant. He has prayed for bail on the basis of parity. The petitioner is in custody for the last 05 months and 10 days.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Ms. Ruchi Sekhri, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 05 months and 10 days. It is further submitted that the petitioner is involved in one more case.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the petitioner has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused; the co-accused has already been granted bail as he has compromised the matter with the complainant; petitioner is in custody for the last 05 months and 10 days; challan has already been presented and charges have been framed; the conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

9. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the

case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

10. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order, in case the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

06.03.2026
Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No