



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

116

CR-9406-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-21.01.2026

Sukhraj Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Dr. Lakhwinder Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Shailendra Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasmeet Singh Ghuman, Advocate,
for the respondent-Caveator.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present Civil Revision Petition is for quashing the impugned order dated 11.11.2025 passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Jalandhar in Civil Appeal No. 567 of 2024 titled as Sukhraj Singh Vs. Lakhwinder Singh, whereby the learned Addl. District Judge, Jalandhar directed the petitioner to furnish the Bank Guarantee for the decretal amount while deciding application under Order 41 Rule 5 CPC for stay of execution of judgment and decree dated 16.10.2024 passed by learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jalandhar in C.S. No. 265 of 2019.

2. A perusal of the impugned order dated 11.11.2025 shows that the learned Additional District Judge, Jalandhar, allowed the application under Order 41 Rule 5 CPC for staying the operation of the judgment and decree dated 16.10.2024. Consequently, the operation of the said judgment and decree was stayed, and the petitioner was directed to furnish a bank guarantee for the decretal amount, i.e. ₹1 Crore, in the shape of an FDR within 20 days from the date of passing of the order i.e. 11.11.2025.



3. Today, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready to furnish a bank guarantee to the tune of ₹50 Lakhs instead of ₹1 Crore, in the shape of an FDR, and prayed that till then, the property of the petitioner be not put to sale. It was further prayed that the learned Additional District Judge, Jalandhar, be directed to decide the appeal in a time-bound manner.
4. Learned counsel for the respondent has agreed to the above and submitted that the learned Additional District Judge, Jalandhar, may be directed to decide the appeal in a time-bound manner.
5. Accordingly, the present revision petition is disposed of. The learned Additional District Judge, Jalandhar, is directed to decide the appeal within a period of three months from the date of passing of this order. Meanwhile, the order dated 11.11.2025 is modified to the extent that the petitioner, instead of furnishing a bank guarantee to the tune of ₹1 Crore, shall furnish a bank guarantee to the tune of ₹50 Lakhs in the shape of an FDR. The learned trial Court/Executing Court is also directed not to put the property of the petitioner on sale till the decision of the appeal by the first appellate Court.
6. Pending applications(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

21.01.2026
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No