



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-67492-2025
Date of decision: 22.01.2026

PARDEEP KUMAR AND ANOTHERPetitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Naresh Chander, Advocate with
Mr. Kripal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Balam Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant first petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 0086 dated 07.10.2025, registered under Section(s) 126 (2), 3 (5), 115(2) and 109 of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Payal, District Khanna (Ludhiana).

2. Briefly summarized, the case of the prosecution as mentioned in the order of the trial Court reads thus:-

“As per prosecution version, the instant FIR was registered on the basis of statement of complainant Gurmeet Singh to the effect that on 03.10.2025, he was going to his house at village Dhamot Kala on his Platina

motorcycle to get the chemical which was to be applied on the roof of his house. When he reached near poultry farm Aluna Palla Road Dhamot Kalan, at about 12:30 PM, his co villagers Pradeep Kumar, Randeep Kumar (present applicants) and Pawan Kumar who were already on the way, stopped him and started abusing him. Pawan Kumar exhorted to catch him and not to spare him. In the meantime, Pradeep Kumar hit him with paana held by him in his right hand on the left side of his head with intention to kill him, due to which he fell down on the ground. Randeep Kumar gave kick and fist blows on his back when he was lying on the ground. Thereafter, he was admitted to PGI Hospital Chandigarh. Lateron, he came to know that his friend Parminder Singh, uncle's son Gagandeep Singh took him to Civil Hospital, Payal by arranging a vehicle, where the doctor gave him first aid and referred him to Civil Hospital, Ludhiana, from where, he was referred to Government Hospital, Sector 32, Chandigarh. The reason behind the occurrence was that some time ago, there was a fight between him and co-accused Pradeep Kumar at the ground and since then, Pradeep Kumar and his brother Randeep Kumar and their father Pawan Kumar had been fighting with him and due to the said grudge, Pradeep Kumar, Randeep Kumar and Pawan Kumar surrounded him on the road with intention to kill him.”

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contends that the petitioners are not involved in any other criminal case and that the matter has also been amicably resolved amongst the parties. He submits that a compromise has been effected and an affidavit in support of the same had been executed by the complainant on 03.11.2025

4. Counsel for the complainant, who is present in person, files his power of attorney in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

Counsel ratifies the settlement and acknowledges the affidavit executed by Gurmeet Singh and contends that the complainant has no objection to the concession of bail being extended to the petitioners.

5. Learned State Counsel on the other hand contends that the specific attribution has been made to the petitioners and recovery has been effected from the petitioners.

6. Having heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegations, the clean criminal antecedents of the petitioners, the amicable resolution of the dispute and the fact that conclusion of trial shall take long, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioners on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail on their furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. It is made clear that the petitioners shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

JANUARY 22, 2025
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No