

2026:PHHC:025858



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

CRM-M-67392-2025
Date of Decision: 18.02.2026

NAVNEET SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL.

Present: Mr. Mayank Mathur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.46 dated 19.02.2016 under Sections 279, 337 and 338 (wrongly mentioned as 228 in the head note) of IPC registered at Police Station Tripuri, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) alongwith all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom including the judgment and order dated 09.03.2023 (Annexure P-2) passed by the learned JMIC, Patiala in case bearing No.CHI-408-2016 titled as 'State Versus Navneet Singh' on the basis of compromise dated 27.10.2025 (Annexure P-4).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the matter has been compromised between the parties by virtue of compromise deed dated 27.10.2025 (Annexure P-4) and the respondents No.2 and 3 have duly sworn their affidavits dated 11.02.2026 and 24.12.2025 respectively with regard to the compromise arrived at between the parties. The said affidavits in original have been placed on record by the counsel for the petitioner today in Court in compliance of order dated 01.12.2025.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Arjun Kapur, Advocate enters appearance, files Power of Attorney on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3, and admits the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

5. Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and he could not refute the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

6. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

7. In that view of the matter, even if this case is taken to its logical conclusion, it would only be an exercise in futility as the parties have already settled their differences. Therefore, no purpose would be served in wasting the time and resources of the State in pursuing this case to its pre-ordained end. It would, therefore, be better to give a quietus to the issue at this stage.

8. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and FIR No.46 dated 19.02.2016 under Sections 279, 337 and 338 of IPC registered at Police Station Tripuri, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) alongwith all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom including the judgment and order dated 09.03.2023 (Annexure P-2) passed by the learned JMIC, Patiala in case bearing No.CHI-408-2016 titled as 'State Versus Navneet Singh' stands quashed qua the petitioner on the basis of compromise dated 27.10.2025 (Annexure P-4) as well as affidavits dated 11.02.2026 and 24.12.2025 duly sworn by respondents No.2 and 3 subject to costs of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited with Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh.

9. Petition stands allowed accordingly.

FEBRUARY 18, 2026.

Rajender

**(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No