



CRM-M-67642-2025

-1-

(131)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-67642-2025

Date of Decision: 30.03.2026

MANJIT SINGH @ GANDHI @ MANJEET SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CRM-M-67778-2025

MAJOR SINGH @ MEJAR SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. D.S. Sobti, Advocate
Ms. Sheenam Kamraj, Advocate
Mr. Angrej Singh Sarwara, Advocate and
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. M.S. Toor, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Avinash Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

This order shall dispose of two petitions bearing No.CRM-M-67642-2025 titled as Manjit Singh @ Gandhi @ Manjeet Singh and CRM-M-67778-2025 titled as Major Singh @ Mejar Singh as the same are arising out of the same FIR. However, for the sake of convenience the facts have been taken from CRM-M-67642-2025.

**CRM-M-67642-2025****-2-**

2. The prayer in the present petitions under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.111 dated 12.09.2025 registered under Sections 109, 115, 118(1), 191(3) and 190 of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar (Rural).

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. As the petitioners are in custody since 12.09.2025 but none of the 13 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, they are entitled to the concession of bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel along with the learned counsel for the complainant do not dispute the factum of a compromise having been arrived at between the parties. They, also concede that the petitioners are in custody since 12.09.2025 but none of the 13 of the prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. Admittedly, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. As the petitioners are in custody since 12.09.2025 but none of the 13 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioners is not required.

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

2026.PHHC:048943



CRM-M-67642-2025

-3-

8. The petitions stand disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

30.03.2026

JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No