



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CWP-35953-2025
Date of Decision: 07.04.2026

M/s CS Construction ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Arun Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to refund the earnest money and tender fee deposited by him *qua* works allotted in District Moga along with interest.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no order with respect to forfeiture of earnest money.
3. Learned State counsel submits that if agreement executed between the parties mandates passing of order for forfeiture of earnest money, the required order would be passed within two months from today, otherwise, petitioner ought to avail remedies as permissible by law.
4. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

07.04.2026
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No