

120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH



CRM-M-68199-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.02.2026

SATNAM SINGH ALIAS BHALWAN ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Harjeet Singh Sandrania, Advocate for
Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.162 dated 04.09.2025, under Section 22 of NDPS Act (Sections 27/29 of NDPS Act added later on) registered at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa, Punjab.
2. The case of the prosecution is that while on patrolling duty for checking suspicious persons and suspicious objects, petitioner along with co-accused Jasveer Kaur was apprehended with 50 tablets of tramadol hydrochloride and is behind the bars since 04.09.2025.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the quantity of contraband recovered from the petitioner is non-commercial in nature. He further submits that provisions of Section 50 of NDPS Act have not been complied with in the present case. The petitioner is in custody for the last more than 05 months.
4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Rishabh Singla, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the

petitioner. However, he has not disputed the fact that the petitioner is behind the bars since 04.09.2025. Learned State counsel further submits that out of 15 prosecution witnesses, only 1 has been examined so far.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the quantity of contraband recovered from the petitioner is non-commercial in nature; the petitioner is in custody for the last more than 05 months; out of 15 prosecution witnesses, only 1 has been examined so far and the conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time, therefore, continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that if while on bail so granted through the instant order, in case the petitioner indulges in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to move an application for cancellation of his bail.

24.02.2026
Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No