

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.244

**CRM-M-67729-2025
Date of Decision: 05.02.2026**

SATYAM INDUSTRIES PVT LTD

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present:- Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Sr. Advocate, assisted by
Mr. Deepak Goyat, Ms. Vaishnavi Sikka and
Mr. Nikhil Sehrawat, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikram Singh, AAG, Haryana.

MANDEEP PANNU, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking issuance of various directions. However, during the course of arguments, the learned counsel for the petitioner has confined the prayer only to the expeditious disposal of the case pending before the learned Magistrate.

2. A perusal of the record reveals that a private complaint was filed by the complainant in the year 2016 and charges in the said case were framed in the year 2023. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that, despite the passage of considerable time, not even a single prosecution witness has been examined till date.

3. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, this Court deems it appropriate to issue directions. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of and the learned trial Court is directed to record the statements of the

witnesses as expeditiously as possible and to proceed further in the matter and decide the case in accordance with law, at the earliest, without granting unnecessary adjournments.

4. All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

05.02.2026
Anu

(MANDEEP PANNU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No