



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-67623-2025

Date of decision :30.03.2026

HIMANSHU DHIMAN AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CRM-M-69786-2025

VISHAL SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. P.S. Waraich, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-67623-2025.

Mr. Chahit Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-69786-2025.

Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

Dr. Khushbir K. Bhullar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of two petitions bearing No.CRM-M-67623-2025 titled as Himanshu Dhiman & another versus State of Punjab & another and CRM-M-69786-2025 titled as Vishal Singh Versus State of Punjab & another as the same are arising out of the same FIR. However, for the sake of convenience the facts have been taken from CRM-M-67623-2025.

2. The prayer in these petitions is for quashing of an FIR No.150 dated 03.09.2025 registered under Sections 318(4) & 61(2) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Khanna City-2, District Ludhiana along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Vide order dated 09.02.2026 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 21.11.2025 (P-2).

4. The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

5. Pursuant to the order dated 21.11.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Khanna and as per the report dated 07.03.2026 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

6. A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in "***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab*** 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543".

7. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Khanna accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.150 dated 03.09.2025 registered under Sections 318(4) & 61(2) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Khanna City-2, District Ludhiana along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

8. Petitions stand disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

30.03.2026
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No