



CRM-M-66894-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CRM-M-66894-2025
Decided on : 18.03.2026

Gurpreet Singh

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Prince Pushpinder Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Aditya Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Gurpreet Singh, aged 33 years	70	05.11.2024	103 of BNS	Begowal	Kapurthala

2. Complainant – Kulwant Singh got registered the FIR, which reads as under:

Stated that I am a resident of the above address and I am retired from the Army and now I do agricultural work in the village. My nephew Manpreet Singh son of Lakhwinder Singh, resident of Nangal Lubana. Police Station Begowal, District



CRM-M-66894-2025

Kapurthala, who also does agricultural work in the village, used to share everything with me. About two months ago my nephew Manpreet Singh told me that he had given 240,000 on loan to Gurpreet Singh son of Karnail Singh, who, despite repeated demands, did not return the money, and that a written compromise had also been executed. On 01-11-2024, my nephew Manpreet Singh came to me in the evening around 8/8:30 PM. On his asking. I and my nephew Manpreet Singh were going to the house of Gurpreet Singh to talk about the money. His house is in the same street as ours. While we were going. Gurpreet Singh son of Karnail Singh and his wife Ramanpreet Kaur met us in the street a little behind their house. Manpreet Singh asked Gurpreet Singh about his loaned money, upon which both started arguing. Gurpreet Singh and his wife, in a fit of rage, began abusing Manpreet Singh. Ramanpreet Kaur started arguing with Manpreet Singh. Gurpreet Singh ran towards his house and brought a gandasi. He struck a gandasi blow on the left side of Manpreet Singh's head, due to which he received a very deep injury and a lot of blood started flowing. I tried hard to intervene and separate them, but he suddenly gave a forceful blow on the head with the gandasi, due to which Manpreet Singh fainted and fell to the ground. I and my brother Lakhwinder Singh arranged for a vehicle and took him for treatment to Civil Hospital Bhulath, where the doctor, after providing initial aid, referred Manpreet Singh to Civil Hospital Kapurthala. On reaching Civil Hospital Kapurthala, the duty doctor there examined him in emergency and referred him for treatment to Guru Nanak Civil Hospital, Amritsar. We admitted him there on 02-11-2024 in the afternoon. There, on 04-11-2024 at about 4:00 PM, Manpreet Singh died during treatment due to the severe head injury. I witnessed the entire incident with my own eyes. Gurpreet Singh and his wife Ramanpreet Kaur, due to the grudge arising from Manpreet Singh demanding back his loaned money, inflicted the grievous injury that caused his death. Legal action be taken against both of them. Statement recorded, heard, found correct.”

3. As per case of the prosecution, during altercation with deceased Manpreet Singh, regarding payment of amount, petitioner went inside his house and brought a *gandasi* and thereupon inflicted a blow on left side of head of the deceased, resulting into causing of grievous injury, which ultimately led to his death. The petitioner is inside jail for the last period of 01 year, 04 months and 07 days. After completion of investigation, challan was submitted and charges



CRM-M-66894-2025

were framed on 28.02.2025. The statements of material witnesses are yet to be recorded. *Prima facie*, this Court does not find any substantial reason to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

5. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present petition at this stage.

6. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

March 16, 2026

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE