



106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-1670-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 11.02.2026

JASRAJ KAUR

...Petitioner

Vs.

SANDEEP KAUR

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Satnam Singh Abiana, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.**CRM-3927-2026**

This is an application under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for placing on record additional documents (Annexure A-1 to A-17) on record.

Learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner was directed to clarify as to how these documents are necessary for disposal of revision preferred against impugned order dated 01.10.2025.

At this stage, learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner stated that he does not press this application and withdraws the same.

In view of the aforesaid statement, instant application stands dismissed as withdrawn being not pressed.

Main case.

1. Petitioner has filed this revision against impugned order dated 01.10.2025 passed by learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Ludhiana vide which evidence of petitioner Jasraj Kaur was closed by order and the case was fixed for respondent's evidence.

2. Learned counsel representing petitioner stated that in the main case before trial Court, petitioner had availed about 10 opportunities to lead evidence and till date only examination-in-chief of petitioner and her father has been recorded. It is pointed out that matter remained pending for reconciliation before Mediation Centre. Order passed by trial Court granting interim maintenance was also challenged and interim maintenance was reduced from Rs.20,000/- to Rs.15,000/- per month by this Court in CRR (F)-953-2025 vide order dated 06.11.2025 (Annexure P-7). In case petitioner is not granted opportunity to conclude evidence, case filed under Section 125 Cr.P.C. is bound to fail. Therefore, reasonable opportunity be granted to the petitioner to conclude her evidence.

3. Considering the aforesaid factual position, no purpose would be served by giving notice to the respondent.

4. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. Petitioner has placed on record all relevant documents i.e. zimni orders for proper adjudication of the case. Copy of petition filed under Section 125 Cr.P.C. is pending since 30.07.2022. Admittedly, petitioner was granted sufficient opportunities to conclude evidence. Counsel representing petitioner did not file any list of witnesses nor any process fee/diet money was deposited. As per the version of counsel for the petitioner, only statement of petitioner and

her father has been recorded-in-chief. The aforesaid factual position indicates that no proper steps were taken before the trial Court to conclude the evidence. Since petitioner is minor daughter of the respondent, lenient view is taken. Petitioner is granted two effective opportunities to conclude her evidence at own responsibility subject to payment of costs of Rs.10,000/- with District Legal Services Authority, Ludhiana.

5. Revision is, accordingly, allowed.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

11.02.2026

snd

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No