

2026:PHHC:030795



CRM-M-66552-2025 (O & M)

::1::

(113)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-66552-2025 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 25.02.2026

Gurmail Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. T.S. Grewal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.219 dated 12.09.2025 under Sections 105 and 190 of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur, Punjab.

2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner and her co-accused surrounded deceased-Bhagwant Singh and started pushing him because of which he fell down, became unconscious and subsequently, passed away.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations levelled in the FIR are absolutely baseless. In fact, during the occurrence, a Video clip was recorded which clearly shows that not a single accused person even touched the deceased. In fact, in the presence of certain



CRM-M-66552-2025 (O & M)

::2::

police officials, deceased-Bhagwant Singh fainted, fell on the ground and subsequently, passed away. As the petitioner is a lady, in custody since 12.09.2025 but none of the 19 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, she be granted the concession of bail, particularly, when some of the co-accused have been granted the concession of either anticipatory bail or regular bail.

4. The learned counsel for the State has examined the Video footage and admits that none of the accused has even touched the deceased. He, however, contends that the allegations levelled are serious and therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of bail. Neverthelss, he concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 12.09.2025 but none of the 19 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far and that some of the co-accused have been granted the concession of either anticipatory bail or regular bail.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and her co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 12.09.2025 and none of the 19 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required, moreso, when some of the co-accused have been granted the concession of either anticipatory bail or regular bail.

2026:PHHC:030795



CRM-M-66552-2025 (O & M)

::3::

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-Gurmail Kaur is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

February 25, 2026
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable :- Yes/No