



CRM-M-67371-2025

-1-

(130) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-67371-2025
Date of Decision: 16.03.2026

RAMANDEEP SINGH ALIAS RMA

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Swarn Tiwana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.50 dated 25.06.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 125 BNS, 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1954 (Sections 109, 114, 238 of BNS, 2023 added later on) at Police Station Dhilwan, District Kapurthala.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is a case wherein no injury has been caused to anyone. The complainant/Jugraj Singh has furnished an affidavit stating that there were only normal arguments between the parties. As the petitioner is in custody since 10.07.2025 but none of the 12 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail, moreso when two co-accused, namely Harpal Singh and Daljit Singh have been granted the concession of bail vide orders dated 22.08.2025 and 11.09.2025 respectively.

**CRM-M-67371-2025****-2-**

3. On the other hand, the learned State counsel contends that the petitioner is serial offender with 12 other cases registered against him. Apparently, he has pressurized the complainant to furnish an affidavit exonerating him. He is a desperate accused who attempted to free from police custody, during which he made an active attempt to take the service pistol of a police official so as to be able to fire at the police party at the time of effecting of recoveries. In that occurrence, the police party also shot at the leg of the petitioner. As he is a hardcore criminal, he is not entitled to the concession of bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. Admittedly, the petitioner, along with his co-accused, fired shots in the air at a toll plaza. When the police party were taking the petitioner to get recovered weapons, he attempted to take the pistol of a police official so as to be able to fire at the police party. In the said occurrence, the police party also fired at the leg of the petitioner. He is an accused in 12 other cases. There is every possibility that he would abscond from justice in case he granted the concession of regular bail.

6. In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition and the same stands dismissed.

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

16.03.2026

Kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No