



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-67566-2025

BALWANT SINGH AND ORS

...PETITIONERS

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

Date of decision: 20.01.2026

Date of uploading:20.01.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Naresh Kumar Ganga, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case bearing FIR No.598 dated 22.10.2023 registered for offences punishable under Sections 302, 379-B, 34 of IPC at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa.

2. On 02.12.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Apprehending their arrest in FIR No.598 dated 22.10.2023 registered for offences punishable under Sections 302, 379-B, 34 of IPC at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa; the petitioners have preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre- arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, contends that the petitioners were not initially named by the complainant in the FIR, no culpability was found by the police during the course of the investigation, the petitioners were found innocent, the petitioners were summoned as additional accused vide order dated 03.11.2025,



the petitioners are willing to cause appearance before the trial Court & participate in the trial proceedings in accordance with law. Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance copy; Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl.AG, Haryana has caused appearance on behalf of the respondent-State. In case, the petitioners cause appearance before the concerned trial Court on or before 17.12.2025, they be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of the said Court. List on 23.12.2025.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 02.12.2025 the petitioners have joined investigation and are no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. It is not in dispute that the petitioners have appeared before the concerned trial Court and are participating in the trial proceedings.

5. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand; especially the factum of the petitioners have joined investigation and cooperated therein and are no longer required by the State for further custodial interrogation, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 02.12.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioners violate any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

20.01.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No