

126 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH



CRM-M-66477-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.02.2026

LOKESH ALIAS LOCKY ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.85 dated 11.05.2025, under Section 103(1), 61(2), 3(5), 238, 229(1), 231 of BNS and Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Hassanpur, Palwal.

2. The case of the prosecution is that an FIR was initially lodged by Jaideep reporting that his brother, Kalu @ Bhavishya, had committed suicide. However, after a lapse of 19 days, the deceased's uncle, Mahabir, made a complaint to the CIA Hodal alleging that, in fact, Jaideep, Rahul and brother-in-law of Jaideep namely Anil have killed the deceased. During the investigation, it allegedly came to light that Rahul committed the murder of Kalu @ Bhavishya in conspiracy with Jaideep and Anil. It was further decided that a pistol would be arranged through Birender, which Rahul subsequently procured. It is further alleged that, on the basis of Rahul's disclosure statement, the petitioner has been named as the person who accompanied him to Rajasthan to procure the pistol.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The only allegation against him is that he accompanied Rahul to procure the pistol from Birender. The petitioner neither participated in the alleged occurrence nor was he involved in any conspiracy to murder the deceased. The petitioner is in custody for a period of 8 months and 17 days and is not involved in any other case.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 08 months and 17 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that the petitioner is not involved in any conspiracy to murder the deceased; the petitioner is in custody for the last 8 months and 17 days; he is not involved in any other case; charges have been framed and the trial is yet to commence; the conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released

on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that if while on bail so granted through the instant order, in case the petitioner indulges in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to move an application for cancellation of his bail.

25.02.2026
Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No