

2026:PHHC:041079



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

106

CRM-M-66286-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 17.03.2026

RAJNEESH KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Akshay Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vrishank Suri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Saroha, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nafeesh Ahmed, Advocate, for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.548 dated 07.09.2025, registered at Police Station Gharaunda, Karnal, under Section 318(4) of BNS and Section 24 of the Immigration Act.

2. On 09.03.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel while referring to Annexure P-4, which is the report of Economic Offences Wing, wherein initial complaint had been submitted and a categoric finding returned that it is a case of civil dispute and money was advanced by the petitioner and not vice-versa, for which bank statement is referred. As a matter of fact, the complainant is a crypto currency dealer and the petitioner is his brother-in-law and is also not involved in any other and is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Ms. Manisha Singh, Advocate, for Mr. Nafeesh Ahmed,

Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant and made their respective submissions in opposition.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 11.03.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 17.03.2026.”

3. Learned Senior Counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Devender affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 09.03.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

17.03.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No