



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
115

CRM-M-66560-2025
Decided on : 06.03.2026

Radha Krishan . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Punjab . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present second petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Radha Krishan	0081	27.05.2024	452, 324, 506 of IPC [Offence u/s 326 vide DDR No.41, dated 11.06.2024, offence u/s 450 vide DDR No.14, dated 13.07.2024, and offence u/s 307 vide DDR No.18, dated 13.08.2024 added later on]	City Malout	Sri Muktsar Sahib

2. Role attributed to the petitioner is that of causing injuries to the injured Vijay Kumar and Seema Devi. Injuries suffered by them are detailed as under:-

“(ii) *Detail of MLRs*
(a) *As per MLR No.RS/74/MLR/2024 dated 27.05.2024 (Civil*



*Hospital, Malout) of the injured Vijay Kumar son of Sohan Lal
Two injuries. Both the injuries were declared as grievous by the
Doctor.*

*(b) As per MLR No.RS/75/MLR/2024 dated 27.05.2024 (Civil
Hospital, Malout) of the injured Seema Devi wife of Rattan Lal,
there is one injury on her person. The injury No.1 was declared as
dangerous to life by the Doctor.”*

3. After hearing the petitioner’s counsel, on 10.02.2026, following
order was recorded:-

*“i) Learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of
Naveenpal Singh Lehal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub
Division Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, in Court today. Same is taken
on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the
paper-book.*

*ii) Petitioner is attributed with having inflicted Kappa blows
on the right side of the head (twice). Injuries were suffered by Vijay and
Seema Devi, who had tried to stop the petitioner from running away.
Injured-Vijay has been examined and has supported the case of the
prosecution; however, Seema Devi is yet to be examined and now the case
is listed for examination on 09.03.2026.*

*iii) Let Seema Devi also be examined before the date fixed
before this Court.*

iv) List on 02.04.2026.”

4. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a
direction to learned trial Court to record the statement of injured Seema Devi
at the earliest, along with the statements of other material prosecution
witnesses, which are yet to be recorded.

Let the process of recording the statements of the prosecution
witnesses be expedited.

5. However, petitioner shall be at liberty to file a fresh petition
after completion of **two years of incarceration period inside jail**, in case the



prosecution evidence is not concluded by then.

6. **Petition is disposed of in above terms.**

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 06, 2026
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*