121+122

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

<u>CRM-M-67900-2025</u>

Shivraj Regar

…...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

…...Respondent

<u>CRM-M-67917-2025</u>

Mukesh Regar

…...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

…...Respondent

Decided on: 09.04.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Pradeep Chhoker , Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in both cases)

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG Haryana

****

<u>SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)</u>

1. By way of this common order, both the above-mentioned petitions are being decided together as they arise out of the same FIR and common allegations.

2. Both the above mentioned petitioners namely–(i) Shivraj Regar (in CRM-M-67900-2025) and (ii) Mukesh Regar (in CRM-M-67917-2025) have filed instant bail petitions under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioners, during the pendency of trial,

who have been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
05	**15.01.2024**	**420, 201, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC**	**Cyber Crime**	**Sonepat**

3. Case of the prosecution is that complainant-Punit Chawla contacted on a website and thereupon started the process of sale and purchase of the shares from the Company, which contacted the petitioner(s) online. Lastly, FIR in the instant case was got registered by him, after being defrauded for an amount of about Rs. 26 lacs. Allegations reads as under:

> *"1. That on contact I a website on I https://h5ydstock/fwtstock.com 04.12.2023 to start a business of online trading in share market. choose Full Win Trade Company from this website. The information about this company is received by me through online Whatsapp and Facebook.*
> *2. That this Full Win Company on its website from dated 06.12.2023 to 04.01.2024 the shares of the following companies is got purchased:-*
> *xxxxxxxx*
> *xxxxxxxx*
> *3. That I started the sale and purchase of shares from the above mentioned company and during the period from 04.12.2023 to 02.01.2024 I deposited almost Rs.26,00,000/- into the various current accounts given by the said company. This amount is went into this company. That the details of my accounts from where transferred this amount is mentioned (Account No.021951100000189) Yes Bank IFSC Code YESB0000219 and (Account No.919010063211894) Axis Code UTIB0003642 Bank IFSC and (Account No.01911000132219) HDFC Bank IFSC Code HDFC0000191. The details of the amount deposited is mentioned below:-*
> *xxxxxxxxx*
> *xxxxxxx*
> *Total Amount : 25,45,000/-."*

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) argues that infact petitioner is not

directly involved in the case because as per prosecution, a limited role is assigned against him i.e. to physically accompanying the person(s) for opening of the bank account and then furnishing the details of the said account to the company/main accused. For the said job, petitioner(s) was getting commission of only Rs. 15,000/-. He further argues that investigation qua the petitioner(s) has already been completed and petitioner(s) are lying inside jail for the last more than 01 year and 11 months and charges are yet to be proved by the prosecution by leading cogent evidence. All offences are triable by the Court of learned Magistrate.

He further argues that rather by committing cyber crime, an amount of Rs. 11,10,000/- is found to have been transferred in the bank account No. 3709002100113496 with Punjab National Bank, from the bank account No. 042907300000859 of the complainant and said account was found in the name of Singh Traders and Harpreet Singh.

5. On 22.10.2024, proprietor of the above said account namely Harpreet Singh was arrested and he made disclosure statement. Rs. 6,48,000/- was also found transferred in the bank account No. 042907300000859, which was found existing in the name of Get Solution Firm, under the proprietorship of Rattan Lal Dhakad, who was also arrested on 09.04.2024.

6. On being asked by the Court, learned State counsel informs that there is no allegation that petitioner had opened his own bank account and then got deposited any amount in the said account, after siphoning it from the account of Punit Chawla.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and examined the relevant material available on record with their able assistance.

8. Undoubtedly, such crimes are at rise in the country. However, while considering plea of bail of the accused, who are really involved in applying their technical skill for transferring of the amount from one account to another, Courts are more serious in cooperating to those accused qua whom nothing could be proved regarding crediting of amount in their bank account. Therefore, in the present case(s) also, services of the petitioner – Shivraj Regar (petitioner in CRM-M-67900-2025) and Mukesh Regar (petitioner in CRM-M-67917-2025) were being used by the technical frauders, for the purpose of getting the bank account opened of some private individuals, who otherwise have no such technical knowledge with them.

9. In totality of circumstances and especially for the reason that nothing even fell to the share of the petitioner(s) herein, I do not find any substantial reason to reject the plea of bail.

10. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition(s) is allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

11. Needless to observe that the petitioner(s) shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

12. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

13. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner(s) is directly found

indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

14. Both the petitions stand disposed of.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

15. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

09.04.2026 **(SANJAY VASHISTH)**
reena **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No